Stephen Brown (Chief Officer)

Orkney Health and Care 01856873535 extension: 2601

OHACfeedback@orkney.gov.uk



Agenda Item: 10.

Integration Joint Board

Date of Meeting: 30 June 2021.

Subject: Risk Register.

1. Summary

1.1. This report presents the refreshed Risk Register for consideration and approval by the Board.

2. Purpose

2.1. The report supports the governance and scrutiny role of the Members and the effective identification and management of risks.

3. Recommendations

The Integration Joint Board is invited to note:

3.1. The proposed amendments to the Risk Register detailed in section 5 of this report.

It is recommended:

- 3.2. That the updated Risk Register for the IJB, attached as Appendix 1 to this report, be approved.
- 3.3. That risk 19 be removed from the Risk Register, as a new permanent Chief Officer commenced employment on 24 May 2021.

4. Background

- 4.1. The Integration Joint Board (IJB) understands that it is important to identify and manage the risks which are inherent in its activities and in the services it commissions from Orkney Islands Council and NHS Orkney.
- 4.2. The IJB approved a refreshed Risk Management Strategy at the Board meeting on 10 February 2021.

- 4.3. The Risk Register is a dynamic document and shall be reviewed quarterly, and any changes shall be highlighted for approval at Board meetings. This activity is recognised as a key component of good governance.
- 4.4. It should be acknowledged that risk can never be eliminated in its entirety and some risks can identify positive opportunities which, with the appropriate level of control, may lead to improvements.
- 4.5. The IJB approved the amended Risk Register at the Board meeting of 21 April 2021.

5. Amendments/Updates

- 5.1. A newly identified risk (risk 20) has been added to the IJB's Risk Register in regard to the Implications of National Review of Adult Social Care.
- 5.2. Further details and mitigation actions of this medium classified risk can be found in the updated Risk Register, attached as Appendix 1 to this report.

6. Contribution to quality

Please indicate which of the Orkney Community Plan 2019 to 2022 visions are supported in this report adding Yes or No to the relevant area(s):

Resilience: To support and promote our strong communities.	Yes.
Enterprise : To tackle crosscutting issues such as digital connectivity, transport, housing and fuel poverty.	No.
Equality : To encourage services to provide equal opportunities for everyone.	Yes.
Fairness: To make sure socio-economic and social factors are balanced.	Yes.
Innovation : To overcome issues more effectively through partnership working.	Yes.
Leadership : To involve partners such as community councils, community groups, voluntary groups and individuals in the process.	No.
Sustainability: To make sure economic and environmental factors are balanced.	No.

7. Resource implications and identified source of funding

7.1. The Risk Register as a process must be carried out within existing resources. There may however be cost implications arising from the actions required to mitigate any high-risk areas identified. Arrangements to meet these costs need to be considered on a case by case basis.

8. Risk and Equality assessment

8.1. The development of this register is part of the process of identifying, managing and mitigating risks to the IJB.

9. Direction Required

Please indicate if this report requires a direction to be passed to:

NHS Orkney.	No.
Orkney Islands Council.	No.
Both NHS Orkney and Orkney Islands Council.	No.

10. Escalation Required

Please indicate if this report requires escalated to:

NHS Orkney.	No.
Orkney Islands Council.	No.
Both NHS Orkney and Orkney Islands Council.	No.

11. Author

11.1. Pat Robinson (Chief Finance Officer), Integration Joint Board.

12. Contact details

12.1. Email: pat.robinson@orkney.gov.uk.

13. Supporting documents

13.1. Appendix 1: Risk Register June 2021.

Orkney's Integration Joint Board Risk Register

June 2021.

The refreshed Risk Management Strategy was agreed by the Integration Joint Board on 10 February 2021 and the document can be found at the following link:

https://www.orkney.gov.uk/Service-Directory/S/risk-management-ijb.htm

The Risk Register for the Integration Joint Board is the Strategic Risk Register for the Board. There is also a service risk register for Orkney Health and Care which contains more operational risks. These risk registers are reviewed regularly through the performance management system.

The risks are set out below, with likelihood and impact informed by the risk matrix.

Risk Matrix

Likelihood.	Severity of Cons	sequences.			
	Negligible (1).	Minor (2).	Moderate (3).	Major (4).	Extreme (5).
Almost Certain (5).	Medium (5).	High (10).	High (15).	Very High (20).	Very High (25).
Likely (4).	Medium (4).	Medium (8).	High (12).	High (16).	Very High (20).
Possible (3).	Low (3).	Medium (6).	Medium (9).	High (12).	High (15).
Unlikely (2).	Low (2).	Medium (4).	Medium (6).	Medium (8).	High (10).
Rare (1).	Low (1).	Low (2).	Low (3).	Medium (4).	Medium (5).

Very High: Senior manager action to confirm the level of risk identified and produce an action plan to eliminate/reduce or transfer the risk.

High: Service manager action to confirm the level of risk identified and produce an action plan to eliminate/reduce or transfer the risk.

Medium: Department action to confirm the level of risk identified and produce an action plan to eliminate/reduce or transfer the risk.

Low: Department action to confirm the level of risk identified and manage using routine procedures.

Number.	Risk Title	e.						Cluster.	
1.	Risk of fa		y service provi	der including	g availability a	nd constrair	nt of	Governance.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Head of Hea	alth and Comr	munity Care				
Likelihood.	3.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	High	Current Risk Score:	15.	Target Risk Score:	10.
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Consequer	nces.	Options.		Mitigating Act	tions.
Risk of failure of care provider, if care home, care and other care due to financial or significant care concerns.	ncluding e at home providers I instability	_	care concerns bugh monitoring	plans in the being the pr resort.	nt to contingency event of rovider of last ndividuals and n potential o care	Treat.		Appraisal of proconducted as a procurement purchased in place for Main providers tender frameworegistered and the Care Inspetively or agreemanaged approcured. Work with Scoto Government, Stand COSLA or market.	coart of rocess. contract d action plans r improvement are on the ork and monitored by ectorate. Viders at risk to vement to care e phased and roach if

Number.	Risk Title							Cluster.	
2.	Conflict b	etween prof	essional, orga	nisational and	d IJB roles.			Governance.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Office	r.				·	
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	4.	RAG:	High.	Current Risk Score:	16.	Target Risk Score:	12.
Vulnerability.	1	Trigger.	-	Consequen	ces.	Options.	1	Mitigating Act	ions.
There is a pote of interest betw professional, organisational a roles. There co decisions taken the IJB arrange whereby partne unintentionally to the IJB resulunable to fulfil in	een and IJB uld be n outwith ements er interests take priority ting in IJB	the partner that affect which have delegated		Services cor by IJB are no implemented the Strategic Commission Implementat	ot d in line with c ing	Treat.		Strategic Plan Commissioning Implementation approved by eapartners. Committees ar groups/forums and working ef Good working across the part One-off meetin organisations h when required. Formal arrange place such as Scheme, Sche Administration Delegations, S and Financial F	n Plan are ach of the

Number.	Risk Title.							Cluster.	
3.	Reluctant	Support for (Change.					Governance.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Officer					1	
Likelihood.	3.	Impact.	3.	RAG:	Medium.	Current Risk Score:	9.	Target Risk Score:	6.
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Consequen	ces.	Options.		Mitigating Act	ions.
The need for transformations not being effect understood or communicated stakeholders welack of support	tively to all ith resulting	Resistance tand desire for remain as the currently. Scale and so options for consufficiently sand dismissed	or services to ey are cope of hange not crutinised	Failure to rec services to s equitable, su affordable se Issues are a piecemeal w strategic ove Diminished r from failure t	ecure ustainable and ervices. ddressed ith no erview. reputation	Treat.		Consultation in formats in account the Communication ensure all stake involved in any change for delivery communication colleagues and stakeholders. Proposals will be by the IJB. There will be provided in the proposals will be provided in the proposal of the IJB. There will be provided in the proposal of the IJB. There will be provided in the IJB.	ordance with ation and trategy to sholders are proposed very of auous with a tother affected oe approved roject boards from across all a forward. ght from the as Group that rvice and delivery

Number.	Risk Title	•						Cluster.			
4.	IJB Finan	cial Sustaina	ability.					Financial			
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Financ	e Officer.							
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	Very High.	Current Risk Score:	25.	Target Risk Score:	16.		
Vulnerability.	1	Trigger.	- 1	Consequen	ces.	Options.	1	Mitigating Act	ions.		
Services comm will not be able of the statutory for those with a need. Unable to delive existing savings achieve new sa deliver a balance	to meet all services assessed er in full the s and avings to	resulting in demand for Increasing support per complex new without addressources to increased of Legislative unsupporter funding.	r services. ability to ople with eeds at home ditional o meet demand. requirements ed by additional as of service t within the	requirements Inability to m customer's a needs. Finar reputational the service. Continued no savings targ risk for opera	eet our assessed acial and damage to eed for ets poses a ation of all our is could have effect on ervices or onal change	Treat.		Financial information reported regular Integration Join Finance and Per Committee and Health and Car which highlights areas. Review and review and review medium term firms IJB budget plan processes and Some continger arrangements in regard to operating pressures within bodies.	arly to the at Board, NHS erformance I Orkney re Committee is pressure vise the nancial plan. Inning scrutiny. Incy in place in ational service		

Number.	Risk Title.	ı						Cluster.	
5.	Financial	and demogra	phic pressure	es of services	S.			Financial.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Financ	e Officer.					
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	4.	RAG:	Very High.	Current Risk Score:	20.	Target Risk Score:	16.
Vulnerability.	•	Trigger.	•	Consequen	ces.	Options.		Mitigating Action	ons.
There is a risk to financial and depressures are refectively plant managed over to longer term, result in a pote to meet legislat impact on the atto commission the most vulner people in Orkner.	emographic not ned for and the medium this could ntial failure ion and an bility of IJB services to	Unable to in funding to redemographi pressures, of future efficies savings.	c and other or realise	a suitable le in:Inability to value serLegislatioLow servi	c pressures to vel can result provide best	Treat.		Eligibility criteria Three-year Stra which includes transformation of ensure sustaina Development of Commissioning Implementation Introduction of O Led Support to o collaboratively of communities. Performance repregular basis ide targets that are unmet. Additional funding Scottish Govern Health and Soci managing press A local network National Chief F Officer meeting discussion forum	of services to bility. the Strategic Plan. Community work with corting on a centifies either met or mg from ment for al Care on ures. and the cinance provide a

		issues impacting on partnerships, including
		prescribing and hosted
		services.

Number.	Risk Title	•						Cluster.	
6.	Recruitme	ent and Rete	ention of Work	force.				Staffing.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Office	er.					
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	Very High	Current Risk Score:	25.	Target Risk Score:	9.
Vulnerability.	1	Trigger.		Conseque	nces.	Options.	1	Mitigating Acti	ions.
Insufficient wor planning action to shape future through redeple training etc. Capacity issues difficult for the srealise its priori Failure to recruretain appropria workforce.	s underway workforce byment and s make it service to ties. it and	right place, time, to del Plan priorit statutory fu Statutory o	e does not ght staff in the at the right iver Strategic ies and/ or inctions. fficers are lischarge their inctions	within its re Existing wo becomes of Key pieces not able to Service sta and vulnera placed at ris Service is r than proact Regulatory report poor	orkforce verstretched. of work are be undertaken. ndards drop able people are sk. eactive rather ive. authorities performance is unable to fectively to	Treat.		Service Workfo now approved of complements the NHS Orkney Workney Work	which ne OIC and orkforce s, as far as reas of shortfall of of enhanced deployment as appropriate. eration to the by voluntary re required

Risk of financial penalties. People to live and work in Orkney and 'Grow our own initiatives.
--

Number.	Risk Title			Cluster.						
7.	Brexit.							Legislative.		
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Chief Officer.								
Likelihood.	2.	Impact.	3.	RAG:	Medium	Current 6. Risk Score:		Target Risk Score:	3.	
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Conseque	ences.	Options.		Mitigating Actions.		
There is a risk could affect the of services and reduction of wo Financial Imparto Brexit and of economic issue Financial risks staffing, purchadrugs, equipme consumables a	e continuity I a orkforce. cts relating ther wider es. relate to ase of care, ent,	agreements Changes in governmen affecting ac markets. Shortfalls ir demand of	Shortfalls in supply and demand of labour, such as foreign labour access Inability to reach year character with balanced financial books. Inability to reach year character year year character year year character year year year year year year year ye		Treat.		See EU-Exit ris NHS Orkney ar An incident ma team has been both organisati- issues as they National resilier in place.	nd OIC. nagement set up within ons to address arise.		

Number.	Risk Title				Cluster.				
8.	Impacts of	of new legisla	ation.					Legislative.	
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Owner. Chief Officer		r.					
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	3.	RAG: High. Current Risk Score:		12.	Target Risk Score:	8	
Vulnerability.	/ulnerability. Trigger.			Conseque	nces.	Options.		Mitigating Act	ions.
New legislation and duties could have significant by Sco additional demands on with un				action and scrutiny du meet legisl. Financial ir such as go penalties, i claims. Poor insperesults. Resources	e to inability to ative demands. inplications vernment increased legal ction and audit whether inancial could	Treat.		Three-year Strategic Commissioning Plan whi includes transformation of services to ensure sustainability Eligibility Criteria. Introduction of Communi Led Support to work collaboratively with communities.	

Number.	Risk Title	e.			Cluster.				
9.	Lack of	strategic Dire	Legislative						
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Owner.		icer.		·			
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	pact. 3. RAG: High. Current Risk Score:		Target Risk Score:	9.			
Vulnerability. Trig		Trigger.		Consequ	ences.	Options.		Mitigating Act	ions.
The Directions commissioning are not sufficie for partners to services.	of services	and/or inst	ectional deta ruction within ns of service	funding we expectation directions expected with funds Partners to no service seek best to service This may service in considerir effective of	to what is to be achieved as. may not delive so objectives of value approads delivery. lead to lack of itiation when no the most	r or oh		A Directions te been developm approval which information on required and as budget. There will be re Directions once Commissioning developed and When the full re Integration Sch completed, new will be issued to delegated. An annual direction presented to the	nent for includes outcomes essociated evised e Strategic g Plan is agreed. eview of the neme is w directions o all services ections report

Number.	Risk Title	•		Cluster.					
10.	Primary C	are Improve	Legislative.						
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Owner. Head of Prin			rvices.			·	
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	t. 4. RAG: High Current Risk Score:		16.	Target Risk Score:	12.		
Vulnerability. Trigger.		Trigger.		Consequences.		Options.		Mitigating Actions.	
The Primary Care Improvement Plan proposals will not meet all of the outcomes that are within the GMS contract within the timeframe		priorities fo improveme place. GP practice	ally identified r primary care nt are not in es do not have ses they need eliver local	Patient care and public health affected. Recruitment and retention challenges in relation to GPs and other primary care colleagues		Treat.		The plan is sub Board for appro Further reports IJB on progress There is a dedi Programme Ma manage these	oval. are given to s and issues cated anager to

Number.	Risk Title.	1			Cluster.				
11.	COVID-19				Legislative.				
Risk Rating:	Owner.	wner. Head of Health and Community Care, Head of Primary Care and Chief Finance Officer.						cer.	
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	Very High.	Current Risk Score:	20	Target Risk Score:	10.
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Consequen	ces.	Options.		Mitigating Acti	ons.
Vulnerable serv users/patients a may be affecte effects of the C	and staff d by the	Sustained tra the virus in C communities those most a	, affecting	The consequence to be tragic for affected. Failure to de vaccination p	liver the	ver the		Well communication for his social care staff to professional Health.	ealth and appropriate

particularly residents in Outbreak in one of our within Government All national and local our care homes. care homes. timescales. measures including social distancing, frequent Increased staff absence Implications of COVID-19 Staff tiredness. handwashing, appropriate means that work on the Lack of available staff to Failure to deliver on key PPE, staff and service strategic priorities has provide the programme. strategic aims. user/resident screening, selfbeen postponed. Conflicting demands on isolation. Financial risks relating to the department. Additional staffing been COVID 19 There is a recruited in regard to significant financial vaccination programme. implication to the IJB if the costs of the response to Vaccination programmes the crisis are not fully starting with those most at funded. There may be risk. longer term implications Close partnership working that may have financial with local resilience impact. partnership and Community The need to provide the Planning partners. largest scale vaccination Conformance with all programme with tight performance monitoring by timescales and the need Scottish Government and to for the programme to Care Inspectorate. potentially be delivered Detailed financial planning over the next 9 months and monitoring on COVID-19 has the potential to is in place and costs are overwhelm staff. considered by the Scottish Government as part of the Orkney Mobilisation Plan response. Risk assessment integral part of the assessment process. Rolling programme of All frontline managers provided with refresher training

		concerning statutory compliance.
		PVG (Protecting Vulnerable Groups) scheme in place.

Number.	Risk Title) <u>.</u>			Cluster.					
12.	Inability t people.	o deliver co	re protection a	nd support	services for chi	ldren and yo	oung	Legislative.		
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Owner. Head of Child		ldren's Servi	ces and Chief So	ocial Work O	fficer.	·		
Likelihood.	3.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	High.	Current 15. Risk Score:		Target Risk Score:	10.	
Vulnerability.	nerability. Trigger. Consequences.		ences.	Options.	•	Mitigating Act	ions.			
Children and y people are vulit to the Council's improve policie procedures and as part of multichild protection support services highlighted in tof a joint inspeservices for chyoung people i care and prote Orkney', February	nerable due is need to es, d services i-agency n and es, he 'Report ction of ildren and n need of ction in	Children and young people in need of protection and/or support do not have their needs met by the multi-agency team. Children and young people are exposed to harm; children and young people are not provided with the best chances in early life; children and young people are not supported in closing the opportunity gap. When performance shortfalls are highlighted in inspection reports, this requires improvement. However, a collateral consequence can be that		exposed to dren and young not provided est chances in children and ple are not in closing the y gap. ormance are highlighted on reports, this approvement.	Treat.		Comprehensive improvement per developed which all areas for improgress with the closely monitor Chief Officers' relevant partner bodies. Progress Revie in April 2021 we external scrutire to date and held level of vulnerations.	lan has been ch addresses provement. This plan is red by the Group and the er governance we Inspection ill provide by of progress ip determine		

quality staff to work in Orkney children's and young people's social work and social care services, exacerbating vulnerabilities.		
---	--	--

Number.	Risk Title	•						Cluster.	
13.	Analogue	to Digital S	witchover.		Financial (as this is a strategic risk due to the financial risk).				
Risk Rating:	isk Rating: Owner.			alth and Com	munity Care.				
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	4.	RAG:	Very High.	igh. Current 20. Risk Score:		Target Risk Score:	10.
Vulnerability.	<u> </u>	Trigger. Conse		Conseque	nces.	Options.		Mitigating Actions.	
Vulnerable add without access as a means of to cost implica accelerated sw analogue to dig lines.	to Telecare support due tions of an vitch from		n analogue to ned for 2023 – otland.	be left with contact sor are in need could resul	adults could out a means to meone if they do f help which t in not getting treatment in a ion.	Treat.		There is funding implementation from Scottish Green TEC to test implementation on a functional groups connecting Scotobeen undertake services. Programme boto a functional certical contection of the function of the funct	for £71,400 sovernment olementation. In work with a such as of the through and to ensure of the through olding digital on the plemented in

Number.	Risk Title	•			Cluster.						
14.	Annual Accounts Preparation.							Financial			
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Head of Hea	Head of Health and Community Care							
Likelihood.	3.	Impact.	4.	RAG:	High	Current Risk Score:	12	Target Risk Score:	8.		
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Conseque	ences.	Options.	•	Mitigating Act	ions.		
The disclosure Annual Accour give the quality required.	nts do not	Performand not reporte transparen		qualified re illustrates organisation		a Treat.		There will be full with key officer quality assurant in place and the of sufficient quality the audit to prowith planned time.	is to ensure a ace process is e accounts are ality to allow ogress in line		

Number.	Risk Title	Э.			Cluster.					
15.	Set Aside Budget.							Financial .		
Risk Rating: Owner. Chief Finance Officer.								·		
Likelihood.	4.	Impact.	4.	RAG:	High.	Current Risk Score:	16.	Target Risk Score:	12.	
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Conseque	ences.	Options.		Mitigating Act	ions.	
Although the Set Aside Budget has been delegated the arrangement is not working as intended.		sufficient to	n line with th	requirement Potentially preventive	ng our statutory nts. not able to fulfi services or tional change			Development session with Members on understanding of set aside budget. Development with Finance team on information that is required moving forward.		

	which will not be cost	
	effective.	

Number.	Risk Title. Cluster.									
16.	Misstatement of Payments to Primary Care Contractors Finance								ancial	
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Head of Prin	Head of Primary Care.				•		
Likelihood.	ood. 4. Impact. 4. RAG: High Current Risk Score: 16 Target Risk Score:							12.		
Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Consequences.		Options.		Mitigating Actions.		
Lack of controls in regard to payments to Primary Care Contractors are not scrutinised sufficiently before payments are made.		reflected ar	ified audit 2019/20 which a absence of confirm the f a range of		are made not appropriate	Treat.		Payments are managers and variances are i	unexpected	

Number.	Risk Title			Cluster.						
17.	Budget S	etting.	Financial.							
Risk Rating:	Owner.		·							
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	5. RAG: Very High Current 25. Target Risk Score:							
Vulnerability.	Vulnerability.		Trigger.		Consequences.		·	Mitigating Actions.		
Budgets are not set and approved prior to start of financial year and IJB will be operating without a formally agreed budget.		Not receiving budget offer Partner boot timeous ma	rs from dies in a	Unable to commission services wit resources a		Treat.		Ongoing discus partner bodies joined up worki Updated integr to agree on hor budgets will be	on more ng. ation scheme w future	

Number.	Risk Title).	Cluster.							
18.	Integration	on Scheme R	Governance							
Risk Rating:	Owner.	Owner.		Chief Officer						
Likelihood.	3.	Impact.	5. RAG: High. Current Risk Score: 15. Target Risk Score:							
Vulnerability. Trigger.		Trigger.		Consequences.		Options.		Mitigating Actions.		
Integration Scheme is not agreed within appropriate timescales.				document	o governance between the and the IJB.	Treat.	A review is underway between the partner this will be submitte Scottish Governme financial year 2021/ approval.		artners and mitted to nment within	

	This will then be presented to the IJB for noting.
--	--

Number.	Risk Title	•		Cluster.						
19.	Changes	in Leadership		Strategic.						
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Officer	ЭГ.						
Likelihood.	5.	Impact.	5.	RAG:	Very High.	Current Risk Score:	25.	Target Risk Score:	15.	
Vulnerability.	Vulnerability. Trig			Consequences.		Options.		Mitigating Actions.		
No permanent Chief Officer in post to take leadership role within organisation.		Decisions might be made in the partner organisations that affect the services which have been delegated to the IJB.		Failure to redesign integrated services to secure equitable, sustainable and affordable services. Issues are addressed piecemeal with no strategic overview. Diminished reputation from failure to deliver.		Treat.		A recruitment ca been held and in be taking place it to recruit perman Officer post. Update – New C took up permand appointment on 2021.	nterviews will in April 2021 nent Chief Chief Officer ent	

Number.	Risk Title	э.		Cluster. Strategic.					
20.	Implicati	ons of Nation	al Review of A						
Risk Rating:	Owner.		Chief Office						
Likelihood.	3. Impact. 3.			RAG:	Medium.	Current Risk Score:	9.	Target Risk Score:	6.
Vulnerability.	-	Trigger.	Trigger.		Consequences.			Mitigating Actions.	
The Scottish Government's intention to create a National Care Service and to amend the functions of Integration Joint Boards may affect the provision of care in Orkney.		relating to the adult care is not take accurring to take accurring experiences in rural areas; that eligibilismay become challenging users shous set of criter and the post the higher of required for services and island traves.	for service Id a national ia be required; ssibility that costs of care r small id related inter- el may not be and funded	and protect i	by delivering e services	Treat.		Engage with the Government thr the Chairs' and national meeting through the Chie Group to ensure full understandir Orkney's challer	ough COSLA, Vice Chairs' gs, and ef Officers' e there is a ng of