Item: 18

Policy and Resources Committee: 21 June 2022.

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project – Location-Specific Community Benefit Scheme

Report by Corporate Director for Enterprise and Sustainable Regeneration.

1. Purpose of Report

To consider options for a location-specific community benefit scheme for Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project.

2. Recommendations

The Committee is invited to note:

2.1.

That, on 24 September 2019, the Policy and Resources Committee recommended:

- That the Council approve and commit to implementing the guiding principles of community benefit from Orkney's Community Wind Farm project.
- That, in accordance with the guiding principles, together with the delivery mechanism options, the Executive Director of Development and Infrastructure should undertake community consultation regarding 'location-specific community benefit', and thereafter submit a report, to a meeting of the Committee no later than November 2020, with resulting findings and recommendations.

2.2.

That, in determining the level and geographic extent of any 'location-specific community benefit payment', the principles outlined by the Scottish Government in Community benefits from onshore renewable energy developments: Guidance on good practice principles for communities, businesses, local authorities and others (2019) were to be used.

2.3.

That, accordingly, the value of the location-specific community benefit scheme is set at £5,000 per megawatt (MW) installed per year which, assuming 28.8MW installed capacity, could result in an annual location-specific community benefit fund for each site of £144,000, or £432,000 per year across all three sites.

2.4.

That a public consultation on options for a location-specific community benefit fund attached to Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project, was held between 20 June and 13 August 2021.

2.5.

That the consultation material set out three key question headings, namely:

- Which communities should get the location-specific community benefit fund.
- How should the scheme be administered.
- What should the fund be used for.

2.6.

That the consultation results, detailed in Appendices 1, 2 and 3 to this report, indicate broad support for the following:

- All of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund.
- Additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy).
- Recognition for the communities surrounding the projects (Firth/Stenness, Evie/Rendall, Westray, Flotta).
- Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds.
- The funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils, noting that a
 key theme in the written responses was concerns regarding the capacity for
 volunteer run community councils to administer large grant applications,
 particularly in more fragile island communities.

2.7.

That the consultation results were used to develop nine options for how a locationspecific community benefit scheme could be structured, as set out in section 5.1 of this report.

2.8.

That four of the options have been identified as 'preferred' in that they most meet the key criteria described at paragraph 2.6 above, with the remaining five options discounted.

2.9.

The breakdown of resulting allocations per Community Council area, attached as Appendix 4 to this report.

2.10.

That the preferred option is Option 4, namely 100% of the fund to be managed by Community Councils, with 20% to each of the host communities, Eday, Hoy and Kirkwall and St Ola, with the remaining 40% split on a per population basis to the other Community Councils with a de minimis of £5,000 applied.

2.11.

That, although the preferred option, Option 4, does not meet all of the key criteria identified in the consultation, it does allow all community councils to receive a reasonable sum by applying a de minimis payment, including the 'surrounding community councils' of Firth and Stenness, Evie and Rendall, Westray and Flotta.

It is recommended:

2.12.

That Option 4, namely 100% of the fund to be managed by Community Councils, with 20% to each of the host communities, Eday, Hoy and Kirkwall and St Ola, with the remaining 40% split on a per population basis to the other Community Councils with a de minimis of £5,000 applied, be adopted as the policy position of the Council in respect of the location-specific community benefit fund arising from Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project.

2.13.

That, should Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project receive approval to proceed, the Corporate Director for Enterprise and Sustainable Regeneration should submit a report, to the Policy and Resources Committee in due course, finalising the details of the Location-Specific Community Benefit Scheme and the steps for its implementation.

3. Background

3.1.

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project currently consists of three windfarms located at Quanterness in St. Ola, near Lyness in Hoy, and on Faray in the North Isles. Each site is comprised of six turbines at assumed total installed capacity of 28.8 megawatts per site.

3.2.

During the initial launch stage of Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project a series of community engagement events held in 2019 identified a positive level of support for the project and the sites proposed, with analysis of the responses received at the events suggesting that the public wanted more clarity on the method and type of community benefit that could result from the project.

3.3.

Accordingly, a report was submitted to the Policy and Resources Committee on 24 September 2019, to set out a series of guiding principles for the community benefit to be delivered from the project. These guiding principles were as follows:

- The key purpose of the Orkney Community Wind Farm Project is to generate profit to be used for the benefit of the people of Orkney.
- Community benefit from the project will be delivered via a 'Community Fund' with funding distributed in accordance with the principles of Section 69 (e) of the Orkney County Council Act 1974.
- Financing of the project will be structured to achieve a profit which can be used for community benefit as soon as reasonably practicable in the project lifecycle, noting that any wind farm constructed must pay-off its own construction costs and provide a return on capital invested.
- Profit may be retained for the purpose of extending the life of the 'Community Fund', such as through developing new projects, or repowering existing projects.
- The Orkney Community Wind Farm Project is for the benefit of the whole community with benefit delivered through the distribution of funds. It will not be possible for private individuals to take a shareholding in any project.
- Communities located closest to projects will be impacted most by developments. It
 is therefore considered appropriate to provide these communities with a 'locationspecific community benefit payment'.
- In determining the level and geographic extent of any 'location-specific community benefit payment', the principles outlined by the Scottish Government in Community benefits from onshore renewable energy developments: Guidance on good practice principles for communities, businesses, local authorities and others (2019) will be used. This commits the Council to undertaking community consultation on the geographic extent of 'location-specific community benefit payments' as well as on delivery mechanisms for such payments.
- Receipt of a 'location-specific community benefit payment' by any area will not impact on the likelihood of an area receiving further community benefits from the 'Community Wind Farm Fund'.

3.4.

The Policy and Resources Committee subsequently recommended:

- That the Council approve and commit to implementing the guiding principles of community benefit from Orkney's Community Wind Farm project.
- That, in accordance with the guiding principles, together with the delivery
 mechanism options, the Executive Director of Development and Infrastructure
 should undertake community consultation regarding 'location-specific community
 benefit', and thereafter submit a report, to a meeting of the Committee no later
 than November 2020, with resulting findings and recommendations.

4. Public consultation

4.1.

Work to undertake the community consultation was delayed by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The delay had the benefit of allowing completion of pre-application consultation for all proposed sites under 'Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project', meaning that consultation on the developments themselves and on the location-specific community benefit scheme could be kept separate, as is recommended in the Scottish Government Good Practice Guidance.

4.2.

As per the agreed guiding principles and in line with Scottish Government good practice guidelines, the value of the location-specific community benefit scheme is set at £5,000 per megawatt (MW) installed per year. That means that the annual location-specific community benefit fund for each site (assuming 28.8MW installed capacity) would be £144,000, or £432,000 per year across all three sites.

4.3.

Work was carried out to put together a series of options for how the location-specific community benefit scheme may be structured across three key questions. For each question, a series of options were identified. These options were in line with the guidance contained in the Scottish Government Good Practice Guidance.

- How should the fund be managed?
- Who should the fund be available to?
- · What should it be used for?

4.3.1.

The options under the first two questions are presented in a graphic attached as Appendix 5 to this report. The third question was left open-ended to allow a range of views to be submitted.

4.4.

Following a presentation to Elected Members in a seminar setting, the options were put out to public consultation between 20 June and 13 August 2021. A consultation guide was produced and presentations were also made to Community Councils in a group setting through the Mainland and Isles Resilience Network meetings, as well as to meetings of Kirkwall and St Ola Community Council, St Andrews and Deerness Community Council, Papa Westray Community Council and Shapinsay Community Council.

4.5.

Seventy-eight individual consultation responses were received and four direct responses from Community Councils – namely Kirkwall and St Ola Community Council, Holm Community Council, Papa Westray Community Council and Shapinsay Community Council. Quantitative analysis of the individual responses received is presented at Appendix 1, with comments presented at Appendix 2 and Community Council responses presented at Appendix 3.

4.6.

The consultation results showed broad support for:

- All of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund.
- There to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday and Hoy).
- There to be recognition for the communities surrounding the projects (Firth/ Stenness, Evie/Rendall, Westray, Flotta).
- Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds.
- The funds to be manageable to the smaller Community Councils (a key theme in the written responses was concerns around the capacity for volunteer run Community Councils to administer large grant applications, particularly in the fragile island communities).

5. Options for delivery of location-specific community benefit payments

5.1.

Taking into account the consultation results, nine options have been developed for how the scheme might be structured. These are noted in a table below and compared against their fit with the consultation results. Options have been recommended or discounted accordingly, leaving Options 1 to 4 as the preferred options.

Option	Management of the Fund	Fund Available to	Fits with consultation response	
Recomn	nended options			
1.	1. 50% administered by community councils, 50% administered by OIC or SPV.	Community Councils within a set	Available to all of Orkney	Yes
		distance on an equal basis. All Community Councils can bid into the fund administered by OIC or	Additional recognition for the host communities	No
		SPV.	Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes
			Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes
2.	50% administered by	For Faray site, split equally	Available to all of Orkney	Yes
	Community Councils, 50% administered by OIC or SPV.		Additional recognition for the host communities	Yes
	 For Hoy site, split between community council areas North Walls and Graems: Flotta) on a per head of population basis. For Quanterness site, split between three community council areas (Kirkwall areas) (Kirkwall areas) (Rirkwall and Rendall) on a per head. 	For Hoy site, split between two community council areas (Hoy,	Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes
			Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	n Yes
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes

Option	Management of the Fund	Fund Available to	Fits with consultation response	
		All Community Councils can bid into the fund administered by OIC or SPV.		
3.	50% administered by	 For Faray site, split on a 2/3 to 1/3 basis between Eday and 	Available to all of Orkney	Yes
	Community Councils, 50% administered by OIC or SPV.	Westray. • For Hoy split on a 2/3 to 1/3	Additional recognition for the host communities	Yes
		basis between Graemsay, Hoy and North Walls, and Flotta.	Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes
		• For Quanterness site split on a ½, ¼ each basis for Kirkwall	Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
		 and St Ola, Firth and Stenness and Evie and Rendall. All Community Councils can bid into the fund administered by OIC or SPV. 	Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes
4.	100% of the fund to	20% to each of the host	Available to all of Orkney	Yes
	Community Councils.	communities –Eday, Hoy and Kirkwall/St Ola • The remaining 40% split on a per population basis to the other Community Councils with a de	Additional recognition for the host communities	Yes
			Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	No
		minimis of £5000 applied.	Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes

Option	Management of the Fund	Fund Available to	Fits with consultation response	
Discoun	ited options			
5. 100% of fund to Community				Yes
	Councils.	Council areas based on a per head of population.	Additional recognition for the host communities	No
			Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	No
			Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes
6.	100% of fund to Community	To the Community Council	Available to all of Orkney	No
	Councils. surrounding basis.	surrounding the sites on an equal basis.	Additional recognition for the host communities	No
			Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes
			Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	No
7.	100% of fund to Community	To the Community Council	Available to all of Orkney	No
	Councils.	surrounding the sites on a per head of population basis.	Additional recognition for the host communities	No

Option	Management of the Fund	Fund Available to	Fits with consultation response	
			Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes
			Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	Yes
8.	Councils. between two Community Council areas (namely West		Available to all of Orkney	No
		Council areas (namely Westray and Eday).	Additional recognition for the host communities	No
		For Hoy site, split between two Community Council areas (Hoy,	Recognition for the communities	Yes
		 North Walls and Graemsay, and Flotta) on a per head of population basis. For Quanterness site, split between three Community Council areas (Kirkwall and St Ola, Firth and Stenness, Evie and Rendall) on a per head of population basis. 	Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	
			Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	No
9.	100% of fund to Community	For Faray site, split on a 2/3 to	Available to all of Orkney	No
	Councils.	ncils. 1/3 basis between Eday and Westray.	Additional recognition for the host communities	Yes
			Recognition for the communities surrounding the site	Yes

Option	Management of the Fund	Fund Available to	Fits with consultation response	
		For Hoy site split on a 2/3 to 1/3 basis between Graemsay, Hoy and North Walls, and Flotte	Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the fund	Yes
		 and North Walls, and Flotta. For Quanterness site, split on a ½, ¼ each basis for Kirkwall and St Ola, Firth and Stenness and Evie and Rendall. 	Fund to be manageable for the smaller communities	No

5.2.

The breakdown of resulting allocations for each Option is presented at Appendix 4 to this report.

5.3.

It is recommended that Option 4 is selected as the Policy Position of the Council, namely 100% of the fund to be managed by Community Councils with 20% to each of the host communities –Eday, Hoy and Kirkwall/St Ola and the remaining 40% split on a per population basis to the other Community Councils with a di minimis of £5000 applied. Whilst this doesn't meet all of the key criteria identified in the consultation, it does allow all community councils to receive a reasonable sum by applying a de minimis payment – including the 'surrounding community councils' of Firth/ Stenness, Evie/Rendall, Westray and Flotta.

5.4.

It is recommended that implementation of the fund is only undertaken at a later date if the project is to go ahead, and will therefore be subject to consideration at a future meeting of the Council where the details of the structure of the fund would be finalised.

5.5.

If a Council or SPV held fund was to be created to administer a percentage of location-specific community benefit payments from Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project, this would create a vehicle through which other opportunities for location-specific community benefit payments which have an Orkney-wide impact could be explored, for example offshore wind.

6. Equalities Impact

An Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken and is attached as Appendix 6 to this report.

7. Island Communities Impact Assessment

An Island Communities Impact Assessment has been undertaken and is attached as Appendix 7 to this report.

8. Links to Council Plan

8.1.

The proposals in this report support and contribute to improved outcomes for communities as outlined in the Council Plan strategic priority theme of Enterprising Communities.

8.2.

The proposals in this report relate directly to Priority 4.2, Strategic investment in projects to generate income and/or deliver significant community benefits, of the Council Delivery Plan.

9. Links to Local Outcomes Improvement Plan

The proposals in this report support and contribute to improved outcomes for communities as outlined in the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan priority of Sustainable Recovery.

10. Financial Implications

10.1.

As the Council currently provides Community Councils with payments and accounting services further thought may need to be given within the options appraisal to understand the difference between the Council and the Community Councils managing and administering these fund.

10.2.

Although the Council can, for example, invest surplus balances and generate a financial return from these activities through the Strategic Reserve Fund, the Council is not currently authorised to provide these services to third parties.

10.3.

This means that the Council will not be able to investment surplus funds on behalf of the Community Councils or pay them a financial return or interest on these balances. On the basis that restrictions surrounding the provision of financial services also extend to the provision of financial and investment advice, it is considered that some Community Councils may be less well placed than others to manage these funds going forward.

10.4.

Community Councils will off course be encouraged to seek their own financial advice, but as they look to establish separate banking and investment arrangements the effectiveness of existing payment and accounting services provided through the Council will diminish.

11. Legal Aspects

There are no significant legal implications arising from the recommendations contained in this report.

12. Contact Officers

Gareth Waterson, Corporate Director for Enterprise and Sustainable Regeneration, extension 2103, Email gareth.waterson@orkney.gov.uk

Sweyn Johnston, Head of Enterprise and Economic Growth, 852271, Email sweyn.johnston@orkney.gov.uk

Kirsty Groundwater, Communications Team Leader, Email kirsty.groundwater@orkney.gov.uk

13. Appendices

Appendix 1: Results of public consultation – quantitative data.

Appendix 2: Results of public consultation – comments.

Appendix 3: Community council responses.

Appendix 4: Allocations per community council under each option.

Appendix 5: Options graphic.

Appendix 6: Equality Impact Assessment.

Appendix 7: Island Communities Impact Assessment.

The first question is about the geographical spread of the scheme i.e. which communities should get the money? We have identified four options. You can choose the same option for each site or different options. If you choose 'a mix of the above options' or 'another option' you can provide further details in the comment boxes below. You can also choose not to give an opinion on a site if you so wish.

Answer Choices	Option 1 – all of Orkney	Option 2 – the host community where the wind farm is situated	Option 3 – all communities within a set distance of the windfarm	Option 4 – a mix of other options	I think there's another option	I don't want to give an opinion on this site.	Response Total
Quanterness	57.69%	6.41%	11.54%	5.13%	3.85%	15.38%	78
	45	5	9	4	3	12	
Hoy	48.72%	15.38%	10.26%	8.97%	5.13%	11.54%	78
	38	12	8	7	4	9	
Faray	47.44%	12.82%	17.95%	6.41%	8.97%	6.41%	78
	37	10	14	5	7	5	

The second question is about how the scheme should be administered i.e. who should look after the money? If you choose 'a mix of the above options' or 'another option' you can provide further details in the comment boxes below. You can also choose not to give an opinion on a site if you so wish.

Answer	Option 1 –	Option 2 -	Option 3	Option	I think	I don't	Response
Choices	Orkney Islands Council holds the fund and administers the grants	Orkney Islands Council passes the money directly to Community Councils to administer the fund	– a Special Purpose Vehicle is set up to administer the fund	4 – a mix of other options	there's another option	want to give an opinion on this site	Total
Quanterness	12.82%	34.62%	28.21%	3.85%	3.85%	16.67%	78
Hoy	8.97%	38.46%	28.21%	3.85%	6.41%	14.10%	78

The second question is about how the scheme should be administered i.e. who should look after the money? If you choose 'a mix of the above options' or 'another option' you can provide further details in the comment boxes below. You can also choose not to give an opinion on a site if you so wish.

Answer Choices	Option 1 – Orkney Islands Council holds the fund and administers the grants	Option 2 - Orkney Islands Council passes the money directly to Community Councils to administer the fund	Option 3 – a Special Purpose Vehicle is set up to administer the fund	Option 4 – a mix of other options	I think there's another option	I don't want to give an opinion on this site	Response Total
	7	30	22	3	5	11	
Faray	12.82%	39.74%	25.64%	5.13%	10.26%	6.41%	78
	10	31	20	4	8	5	

Which Community Council area are you resident in?			
Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
Birsay	1.28%	1	
Eday	10.26%	8	
Evie and Rendall	2.56%	2	
Firth and Stenness	3.85%	3	
Flotta	2.56%	2	
Graemsay Hoy and Walls	10.26%	8	
Harray and Sandwick	3.85%	3	
Holm	0.00%	0	
Kirkwall and St Ola	11.54%	9	
North Ronaldsay	1.28%	1	
Orphir	1.28%	1	

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project – Appendix 1 – Consultation responses (quantitative)

Which Community Council area are you resident in?				
Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total		
Papa Westray	1.28%	1		
Rousay, Egilsay, Wyre and Gairsay	0.00%	0		
Sanday	10.26%	8		
Shapinsay	0.00%	0		
South Ronaldsay and Burray	5.13%	4		
St Andrews and Deerness	1.28%	1		
Stromness	5.13%	4		
Stronsay	16.67%	13		
Westray	5.13%	4		
I don't live in Orkney	1.28%	1		
I'd rather not say	5.13%	4		

Comment No.	Comment	Response
	uld the geographical spread of the location-specific o	community benefit fund be?
1	Hoy already has the development trust turbine as a separate project/income and I don't think certain areas should be penalised for not having a project within their area, therefore should benefit the whole community.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
2	Wind farms should benefit all community's within Orkney. I.e. Grants for house building for young couples who are willing to move into the community council area the turbines represent.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
3	Fuel poverty is rife in all islands. It would be some sort of wicked irony if benefits from any renewables in any parts of Orkney would be locally restricted, although the lion share should go to the community which experiences most direct impact by hosting such a site.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
4	The income should benefit all of Orkney as the wind farms are to be owned by OIC. It would be grossly unfair to only benefit specific locations.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
5	I don't see why the parish of Harray or Tankerness or wherever shouldn't get a share when it wasn't up to the individual parish where the site was situated.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
6	It is about the whole of Orkney. That being said, I would want to make sure the Isles had the greater share. We seem to get forgotten. So you may use it for improving our broadband or the ferry services out to us?	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria

Comment	Comment	Response
No.		
	uld the geographical spread of the location-specific o	
7	Because windfarms are a complete eye sore the local communities should benefit the most as they have to put up with these hideous things, they are only a money making exercise for the council however our visitors, tourists I'm sure don't come to our islands to see them they come for the beauty of	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
8	our islands. Rant over I understood the whole idea of these wind farms was for the benefit for the whole of Orkney not just for the communities where they are located.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
9	The fund would be spread equally throughout the whole county so everyone benefits.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
10	All surrounding islands should benefit	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
11	These large turbines affect everyone in Orkney	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
12	It separates the geographical areas into groups, and by those effected by different economic difficulties. Some of the north isles and south isles have different needs and expenses of those on mainland Orkney.	Noted for future project considerations
13	Whilst I think there should be compensation for the communities in the vicinity of the development, there is a danger of making Orkney a community of haves and have nots depending on whether you have a turbine close by. Funding could be directed at schemes to reduce fuel poverty, child poverty, decarbonisation and other social projects throughout Orkney. It could also make a real difference to offset	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.

Comment	Comment	Response			
No. 1. What sho	No. 1. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
200 2 2	council cutbacks which affect all resident. Some communities also already benefit substantially from existing turbines but a portion would still be fair.				
14	Eday doesn't have the same resources as most of the other north isles and should benefit from this wind farm as it has to have the most significant visual impact. Westray already has a significant income for the foreseeable future and Sanday doesn't share its turbine money with Eday despite Eday having the visual impact!	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
15	My specific interest is the island of Eday and its lack of support. Faray has always been in the parish of Eday. Thus one has to look at the reasons why one might allocate the community fund elsewhere. The only reason I can deduce is visual amenity. As the Sanday wind farm offers no community funds to Eday, precedent has been set. Thus the only conceivable logic is that Eday should get the community fund in its entirety.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
16	Faray is historically part of Eday parish and the Faray folk (9 crofts) who were mostly related to folk on Eday used to come to church on Eday on Sunday and collect their post from the Faray post box which still exists opposite the Surgery. Sanday hasn't shared its Community Benefit Fund with any other island so why should Eday?	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			

Comment	Comment	Response			
No.	1. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
17	Sanday hasn't shared its Community Benefit Fund with any other island so why should Eday?	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
18	The host community should receive most of the benefits of the wind farm because, remember they also are in the forefront of all the negative points. I am an Eday resident, it is also worth noting that there is a wind farm on Sanday where there is money given to the Sanday community many properties here on Eday are nearer to that wind farm nobody here have ever received a penny from it.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
19	Pharay is part of the parish of Eday and Pharay. Eday has the most visual impact from the development. Eday has the worst transport links of all the islands and a very constrained community turbine and we have hosted EMEC for years with very little benefit to Eday so to have another renewables development in our parish AND to enjoy the financial benefit could help our island community a lot. I am not saying for all income to be given to our community but I would ask that OIC give some for us to decide how to spend it and then prioritise benefit/ council services to Eday with the remainder. Community consultation more effective than this survey is a must though!	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
20	Funding and organisation is being accomplished by Orkney Islands Council on behalf all the people of Orkney therefore individual areas cannot be sole	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			

Comment No.	Comment	Response			
	1. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
	beneficiaries of funding that will come from islands council reserves				
21	Some areas will have limited populations and therefore not really gain, e.g. Faray, who would gain from this? It's a n Orkney Islands Council project that should support all of Orkney as all of the residents of Orkney might be impacted by these developments. Viewing these may be from a very different location from where they are built and not in the same parish, but those residents would still be affected by them. The income from these would be disproportionate to any money other areas would ever get, so spreading the income throughout Orkney would see a better cumulative impact to all areas rather than just ring fencing it to certain community councils. The current mindset is that some places are neglected already, this would just increase that perception, e.g. Kirkwall gets everything at present.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
22	We think that the Faray wind farm project should have the biggest share, say 50% of the revenue should go to Eday and Westray first, but the rest of the north isles should get the other 50% divided among them.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
23	Too many communities want the benefits of wind power without wanting them too near to them. I think therefore that the benefits should be limited to those	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			

Comment	Comment	Response			
No.					
1. What shou	1. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
	willing to host, or those nearest to them (within				
	'everyday visible/audible distance')				
24	Orkney is a small community and it is only fair that	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	all areas benefit - anything else would be divisive	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
25	The money is generated by Orkney and so should	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	be for Orkney	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
26	All of Orkney to ensure profits are ploughed into	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	projects which benefit all, e.g. low cost energy,	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
	subsidised travel. For occupied islands the majority				
	of profits should be used to support projects which				
0.7	help inward migration and cost of living mitigations.	Natadayad wast they was hall of Orly as y to have of they as the			
27	If the scheme is being administered through Orkney	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	Islands Council then it should benefit all the areas	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
28	covered by the council. I think all Orkney residents should be receiving	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
20	income or more preferably free power! I would	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
	suggest those communities already receiving an	location-specific community benefit fund key chiefia.			
	income from wind farms should be excluded from				
	this				
29	I think although host community will be more				
	effected, the wind farms will still effect communities				
	a certain distance away				
30	A local scheme for a proportion of income to go to	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition			
	community close to farm, but an overall benefit	for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and			
	scheme for the whole of Orkney since it is being	Hoy)' key criteria			
	funded by the whole of OIC.				
31	It is my opinion that the communities that are most	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition			
	likely to be adversely affected by the construction,	for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and			
	maintenance and visual impact should at least get	Hoy)' key criteria			

Comment	Comment	Response			
No.	What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
1. What shot	the benefit of the community funding.				
	As I understand the whole of Orkney will benefit				
	from any profits made from the council owned farms				
32	therefore all residents will gain. Faray should NOT be considered for a wind farm	Outwith the goods of this consultation eversion			
32	due to its MPA status and the likely disturbance to one of the UK's most important grey seal breeding	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise			
	sites.				
33	The income from the wind farms on Hoy and in Quanterness should benefit the entire Orkney community.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
	I do not think agree that a wind farm should be sited on Faray.				
34	These developments will have a significant ecological impact and the community benefit fund should be used throughout Orkney to benefit environmental causes in each community.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
35	Scotgov suggest the Community Council area hosting the scheme is a good starting point and I agree with this. In the case of Hoy project this would necessarily include Graemsay which is part of the CC. There is a case for including Flotta which is relatively close by and may suffer visual intrusion and construction phase disruption to ferry services.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
	Any suggestion for a widespread distribution of these funds is inappropriate and will not go down well in the affected communities. The advice from				

Comment No.	Comment	Response			
	I. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
	ScotGov is clear that the fund is, in effect, compensation for immediately impacted communities.				
36	My first instinct, as a resident of South Walls was that communities closer to the wind farm should see the benefit - Hoy, Walls and Graemsay but it would be fairer to spread the benefits. I do have concerns though that as small populations our local communities will be largely overlooked for investment and support.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
37	The effects of this project are not purely visual or environmental, although the destruction of a large area of significant natural beauty is bad enough. A whole range of issues regarding the impact of the construction phase must be taken into account, not least the disruption to ferry services which are already considerably stretched. The upheaval inevitably caused by such large scale building affects not just the community in that area but everyone who has cause to pass through it, which means everyone on Hoy and Flotta. A constant stream on heavy vehicles on roads that are barely kept viable for the traffic currently using them is a huge concern, as is the noise, diesel emissions, dust and other pollutants that such a project generates. All of these issues should be faced in real time and therefore compensation should be forthcoming from day one and not at some undetermined point in the	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			

Comment	Comment	Response			
No.	NO. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
1. What sho	distant future when/if this project begins to turn a profit.				
38	The money invested has come from Orkney's taxpayers, so all of those households should benefit from the income.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
39	We are a community, so money should be spread around the islands within that community.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
40	I think that the Hoy money could be used mainly for Hoy but for a Ranger similar to Neolithic Orkney for Hoy and Flotta	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
41	Usually, Orkney wide projects benefit all in Orkney. However, should there be, once again, a Stromness/Kirkwall bias, that would not be so good, as these places already benefit from all kinds of general projects. (I except Quanterness from this because it is actually very close to Kirkwall). So my opinion is that all projects should demonstrate that the majority (even if it is just 51%) of their beneficiaries live outwith the towns.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
42	All of Orkney should receive an equal share to enable all of the communities to develop and grow.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
43	Everyone on the island should benefit rather than just some.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
44	Because these are the communities that will be directly affected by the wind farm	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			
45	As it is the host community that are affected the most by the wind farms.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria			

Comment	Comment	Response
No.	uld the geographical spread of the location-specific o	community benefit fund be?
46	These communities will be the ones seeing the wind farm most, be most affected by any work/disruption involved.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
47	As funds and resources are from county of Orkney should be shared to all county of Orkney.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
48	It's fair that everyone benefits.	
49	Money generated should be distributed across Orkney, based on need and social benefit. I think we need to know what the money will be spent on. If, for example, the funds are used to support enterprise start up grants, there's no reason why this should not be an Orkney wide initiative. But I do believe that the council need to address social issues, such as very poor transport disparity, fuel poverty, and isolation. However, I don't believe that the wind turbine should in and of itself be dedicated to this the council should be using a combined set of funds to address critical issues across our communities. The funds, here, should support people across the isles.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
50	I think it is key to support all of Orkney while focusing more of the benefit to those in the local community.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
51	I would like to see those in poverty and especially fuel poverty to be the ones benefitting from these investments	Noted for future project considerations

Comment	Comment	Response			
No.					
	1. What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?				
52	Except faray all people may feel compromised by	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	the wind farm and compensated by a sweetener	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
53	Given that there has not been detailed costing for any of these developments or how they will be	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise			
	financed I am not clear what community If any				
	community benefits there will be. Therefore given				
	there is no information on where the finances will				
	come from and the time to pay this back, if any				
	funding comes from the reserve fund or other OIC				
	money there is no option but to provide any eventual				
	money ,to the whole of Orkney after-all the whole				
	community is paying for it . In my opinion these				
	developments should not even be considered until				
	all actual costs of the development have been made				
	public so the true cost can be assessed against any				
E 4	possible future financial benefits.	Note has been the sould be that O have to have fitted and			
54	The wind farm should benefit the whole community	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
55	To give parity across Orkney for grant distribution. It	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	has been my experience that under the current	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
	system, children going on a sports or educational				
	trip south, and attending the same school, can				
	receive widely differing transport subsidies from their				
	Community Council, depending on which parish they				
	live in.				
50		Noted and most through fall of Orders as to be a fit force the			
56	In the event that these wind farms are successful &	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the			
	make money I understand that it will be used for the	location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.			
	benefit of Orkney. It is only fair that those who are				

Comment	Comment	Response
No.		·
1. What sho	uld the geographical spread of the location-specific	community benefit fund be?
	affected most by the construction and operation of the projects get some financial benefit. This may go a little way in offsetting the impact to them in other ways.	
57	This is the community taking on the impact of being in close vicinity to the wind farm. This community should be recompensed accordingly.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
58	These communities have the greatest negative impact.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response		
2. If you ticked 'all communities' areas would you include in this?	2. If you ticked 'all communities within a set distance of the windfarm' for any of the sites, which Community Councils areas would you include in this?			
59	Hoy - Hoy, Walls, Flotta & Graemsay	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host		
	Farray - Eday	communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria		
60	All Orkney community councils.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to		
		benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.		
61	For Hoy.	Noted and met through 'there also to be		
	Flotta and Houton communities	recognition for the communities surrounding the projects (Firth/Stenness,		

Comment No.	Comment	Response
	nities within a set distance of the windfarm' for any of	f the sites, which Community Councils
areas would you include in	n this?	Evie/Rendall, Westray, Flotta)' key
		criteria rotta, rotta, rotta, key
62	Outer north isles	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
63	I didn't but surely if anyone ticks this box then it must be because there is some effect on the communities. Thus ipso facto the communities closest should get the most compensation. Anything else would be extremely unfair	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
64	All the islands surrounding Faray - the communities that can see the turbines.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
65	Audible/visible distance - those that will be able to see/hear them everyday	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
66	I am only aware of communities around Hoy and would suggest Flotta be included as they would be effected as well as Hoy	Noted and met through 'there also to be recognition for the communities surrounding the projects (Firth/Stenness, Evie/Rendall, Westray, Flotta)' key criteria
67	Eday. Westray	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
2. If you ticked 'all communities within a set distance of the windfarm' for any of the sites, which Community Councils			
areas would you include in this?			
		communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday,	
		and Hoy)' key criteria	
68	Don't know as I'm not familiar wit	h CCs Noted	
	and boundaries with regard to Fa	aray.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
3. If you selected 'a mix of the other options' for any of the sites you can give further detail here.		
69	I believe that all of Orkney should benefit from turbines not just some communities. Due to its location and geography it is unlikely that the community I represent would ever host a turbine. However I also believe that a larger share of the funds should go to the host communities, to compensate for their impacts.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
70	All of Orkney should benefit but the communities in whose community council area the windfarm is developed should get an enhanced level of benefit, because they are the ones who will be dealing with the direct impact of the turbines, visual impact, noise, impact on the environment, disruption during construction etc.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
71	Already explained above to some degree. However, to be more precise, I am unconvinced that this project is financially	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise

Comment No.	Comment	Response
3. If you selected 'a mix of the other options' for any of the sites you can give further detail here.		
	viable and so vague promises of 'jam tomorrow' are not acceptable.	
72	The capital costs have been very basically worked out and, as we all know, are frequently well above initial estimates (Hatston Pier for example). The reality of Brexit has increased pressure on this factor, the cost of cement alone (a major material component) has risen several fold over the past six months.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
73	The expected selling price of electricity generated has been estimated far too high and the very important issue that a base rate must be met BEFORE any percentage profit can be estimated and therefore before any percentage can be put into community projects, has to be addressed.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
74	As above. Ranger for the Inner Isles but mainly for local benefit. (Money off electric)	Noted for future project purposes
75	I think there should be a gradientmore support for local communities but some of the money from each going to support the whole of Orkney.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
76	I believe that all of Orkney should benefit from turbines not just some communities. Due to its location and geography it is unlikely that the community I represent would ever host a turbine. However I also	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response
3. If you selected 'a mix of the other options' for any of the sites you can give further detail here.		
	believe that a larger share of the funds should go to the host communities, to compensate for their impacts.	
77	All of Orkney should benefit but the communities in whose community council area the windfarm is developed should get an enhanced level of benefit, because they are the ones who will be dealing with the direct impact of the turbines, visual impact, noise, impact on the environment, disruption during construction etc.	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria
78	Already explained above to some degree. However, to be more precise, I am unconvinced that this project is financially viable and so vague promises of 'jam tomorrow' are not acceptable.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
4. If you selected 'I think there's another option' for any of the sites you can provide further detail here			
79	There is only one option: to refrain from an industrial development on an island which is not permanently inhabited. Wildlife has a haven where disturbances	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise	
	through humans are limited to the occasional sheep grazing. And it should stay like this.		

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
4. If you selected 'I think there's another option' for any of the sites you can provide further detail here			
80	Quanterness - mainland communities	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.	
81	Faray has always been in the parish of Eday	Noted and met through 'there to be additional recognition for the host communities (Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday, and Hoy)' key criteria	
82	Do not go ahead with a wind farm in Faray. Ecologically and environmentally it is totally the wrong location.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise	
83	Stop wasting public money on this project. The future of Wind Generation has moved offshore and this idea comes ten years too late.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise	
84	All of Orkney on a per head of population basis	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.	
85	We in the North Isles often feel underinvested in. We want (actually NEED) basic flood defences when Kirkwall got fancy glass-topped ones. The isles (and presumably the same applies for other outlying areas) NEED council jobs in satellite offices but the creation of this kind of facility stopped after Stromness had opened the Warehouse building. A ferry not running, is much more serious than a bus not running because you cannot hitchhike across the sea	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
5. How should the scheme be administered?			
86	Every community council should get a pro rata amount (based on no. Of people living in each community) of the combined total income from all 3 projects, each year.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.	
87	A SPV could be designed to best meet the needs to be available, accessible and with good governance, which would be difficult for OIC to achieve, and administratively challenging for the Community Councils	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	
88	Each community council could better represent the needs of its own community with its own elected members.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
89	Community Councils should be quite capable of administering funds.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
90	Decisions on how the money can benefit communities are best made locally.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
91	As long as it's not admistrated by OIC it'll be safe with anyone!	Noted	
92	This would be my first option as long as it did not cost a lot of the money. We need a vision for the fund and if it	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
	goes to the community councils, which would be my second option; we may not get best value for the whole of Orkney. If it stays with the council, it may just get	
	lost and used for other things	
93	The council has lots of thing's it administers and another is maybe too much.	Noted
94	Orkney Islands Council and Community Councils are not the best organisations to administer money. Far too much is wasted on useless things and pet projects	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
95	Empower local people to decide how to spend money to benefit their area. OIC will be looked on more favourably by not controlling (claiming ownership of) the fund. If the money is spread amongst all of Orkney the amounts should remain manageable for community councils.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
96	Community Councils are best placed to know the needs of their communities	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
97	All opinions from all over Orkney represented	Noted
98	I think that there's should be a separate trust/group set up to co-ordinate the funds and applications.	Noted

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
5. How should the scheme be administered?			
99	Community Councils are not a good way of distributing funding to communities. They have very limited experience in that area and are more often than not, particularly in the case of Kirkwall & St Ola, not representative of their communities.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	
100	I think a mixture of Option 1 & 2 is best. Small schemes could be administered by community councils but larger schemes might need the experience and public scrutiny that OIC can provide.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	
101	Community Empowerment and it gives the Community Councils something positive to do	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
102	In general and I am involved with other wind farms, the fund is administered by the community council. As there has always been a feeling in the North Isles that OIC tends to take everything for the mainland, to do anything else would be paternalistic and smack of not trusting the islands to administer their own funds.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
103	The Community Benefit Fund should be used for exactly that - the community. The Northern Isles are extremely neglected by the centralised administration in Kirkwall and Eday	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
	could make good use of these funds. The Eday Community Council is perfectly able to administer these funds for the benefit of the Eday population.	
104	Option 3, consisting of OIC, Community Councils etc to administer the fund, but I think that the Council need to be in charge	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
105	I believe some held and administered by OIC to prioritise Eday services as well as agreed amounts going to Eday Community groupsEday Partnership, Eday Community Enterprises, Eday Community Association and our Community Council would spread the benefit of the income and result in community led spending.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
106	I think benefits should be spread for Quanterness and Faray and therefore think an independent body would be best for administering the fund.	Noted
107	OIC are the developer so they should control the income of the money and then distribute it equally to all community councils. There will be instances in Orkney where no community council would ever be able to gain from a project like this due to heritage reasons as they wouldn't be able to erect turbines for income due to	Noted

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
	heritage issues, e.g. Stenness etc. So sharing the money around all is the best option.	
108	As far as Papa Westray is concerned we feel that we would be able to administer the funds for the good of the island	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
109	Think the communities themselves should be allowed to administer and the council should not benefit in any way	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
110	The OIC cannot be trusted with the money! But who will choose and regulate this special vehicle?	Noted
111	This needs an identity of its own so it is known about and how residents can access. OIC holding it will mean it is "lost" and CC are not very proactive in advertising funds available.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
112	OIC should administer all options. Setting up and administering an SPV adds costs. Community Council s would require support to administer.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
113	Because the community councils are best placed to direct funds to where they are needed most.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
114	I absolutely do not believe the OIC should administer this without an overhaul internally. It is plain to see that there are issues within the council and	Noted

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
	these should be resolved. An external vehicle with appropriately qualified people (external to the OIC) would be my preferred choice.	
115	I believe the community councils have more Knowledge of the needs of the different areas effected and would put the funds to better use.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
116	Communities within Orkney are desperate for money to improve things for the locals and they never have the funds to achieve everything they would like too.	Noted
117	No point wasting money on setting up yet another level of administration. The community Council is more accessible to local people and groups than the OIC. People probably trust the Community Council more because the council is rather tainted at the moment by terrible decisions it has made lately	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
118	Having been on the Evie and Randall CC where a fund was set up for the Burgar Hill turbines, I know how difficult it is for a "volunteer" committee to spend time on this aspect. Also, if a community can't think of suitable projects, the monies could be apportioned to another area.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
119	I believe that there should be a specific group that administers the fund which should be independent of the current council or community council structure to ensure the funds are fairly distributed among the local communities	Noted
120	There should not be a wind farm built in Faray at all. Otherwise, a completely independent from OIC vehicle should administer the fund for other sites.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
121	I think a 'third party' option to administer the fund and grants is likely to be more objective in their approach to how the fund is handled.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
122	A SPV is preferable to ensure funds are distributed fairly and impartially - this can be difficult to achieve at time through a community council due to the size of the communities served. And difficult to do cost effectively by OIC.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
123	There should be a range of interests represented in the process including the CC but also the Dev Trust, other community groups and interested individuals. OIC could be the fund holder but only to act as banker to disburse the fund as recommended by the SPV.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
5. How should the scheme be administered?			
124	Community Councils would hopefully be better placed to provide support in line with local community priorities	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria	
125	Something along the lines of the Shetland Development Trust model would allow real benefit to the community. This would mean that Community Council's and Development Trusts wouldn't have the administrative burden but could still bid for funding for projects.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	
126	Because it needs to be independent from the council, so the council don't waste it like the recent radicicolous bin and gravel incidents.	Noted	
127	Independent body is fairer and causes less criticism	Noted	
128	Cuts out admin and means the money is going to the places it will benefit.		
129	The Orkney island council do not spend fairly at all! We cannot even get public bins for Stronsay! It's a disgrace that the isles are treated so differently while money is squandered on things like useless bollards for Kirkwall.	Noted	
130	OIC and community councils have history of wasting vast amounts of money, also saving money that should	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme be administered?		
	have been used for projects i.e. covid, stone imports therefore an independent board is my choice.	
131	Community councils would have a better idea who or what was needed to improve their area	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
132	I believe we need a dedicated service to distributing the funds. The community councils are made of volunteers, and I don't think it's fair to place more burden on them. Also, I would like to see this fund clearly defined, so people have greater access.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
133	Community Councils have a better idea of what's important in their area	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
134	A separate group (one entity for all three sites) ensures that it is managed equally across all three sites.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
135	The funding needs to be ring fenced and then made available to the organisations best placed to deliver the projects	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
136	Audited control of funds should be maintained by the Council. As with electricity itself, the longer the 'cable' the greater the loss! The other options just consume funds and I wouldn't trust the community councils as they are run by volunteers, people come and people go	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response
5. How should the scheme	be administered?	
137	Administration should be independent of the council & time & money should not be wasted on setting up new bodies or structures.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
138	Again, it should be the right of the community taking on the burden to decide where their money is spent. These people live within that community and know their needs best.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria
139	To get the decision making as close to the people living there as possible.	Noted and met through 'the Community Councils to take a lead role in administering the funds' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response
6. If you selected 'a mix of the other opt	ions' for any of the sites you can provide	more detail here.
140	Seeking advice from a variety of similar trusts/projects on how to grant applications, eligibility criteria, points scheme. There's also needs to ensure that applications benefit the local communities and help them to grow and become viable.	Noted
	I also think that there needs to be real people, local; community minded people involved in the process. Also - involve people who want our community to grow as develop, as well as making our communities better for the children.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
6. If you selected 'a mix of the other options' for any of the sites you can provide more detail here.		
	If the council own the project/wind farm they need to be responsible for the project and put sensible people in the team.	
141	There is a lack of resource within community councils to administer large schemes. Also Councillors are essentially volunteers and may lack experience and resource to run these schemes. Community Councils do small grants and that would be appropriate. They could also assist with the delivery of larger schemes.	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria
142	Some of the fund could be passed to Community Councils to administer as small grants within their own communities e.g. up to £250, while the remainder for larger grants to bigger projects could be administered by a number of elected trustees, perhaps including a scrutineer from outwith Orkney to ensure transparency	Noted and met through 'the funds to be manageable for the smaller Community Councils' key criteria

Comment No.	Comment	Response
7. If you selected 'I think there	e's another option' for any of the sites you can pro	vide more detail here.
143	As before: No development should take	Outwith the scope of this consultation
	place on Faray.	exercise

144	There are development trusts on or near	Noted
	the islands in question. So for Hoy and	
	Faray the funding should be delivered	
	through these bodies. They already deal	
	with economic development in their	
	areas, they can evidence real community	
	benefit and it means OIC don't need to	
	administer the funding.	
145	There should be no wind farm built in	Outwith the scope of this consultation
	Faray due to the likely disturbance to one	exercise
	of the UKs biggest and most important	
	breeding sites for grey seals	
146	Local development trusts have	Noted
	experience in managing grants, are	
	elected from the community. Are	
	registered charities and can claim the gift	
	aid making the funds go further. Also can	
	source additional funding to match fund	
	far easier than community councils.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
147	I think that should be decided by each community council, without any	All noted for future consideration
148	limitation/restriction of type of project. Delivery fairness and paritye.g. Eday does not have the facilities or access to	
	the facilities of the mainland, this should be addressed. Meaningful access to	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
	secondary education, play park, healthy	
	living centre, home carel could go on!!!	
149	Grants for house building in the	
	community for young couples with a pay	
	back if the properties were sold within 10	
	years.	
	Funding for youth groups to travel to	
	mainland events.	
	Grants towards businesses being set up	
	in the community's hosting the turbines.	
150	To relieve fuel poverty. Provide grants for	
	home insulation (not limited to one	
	company as before and not limited to	
	materials, so any measures should be	
	supported with a fixed sum, including	
	sheep wool insulation, hemp insulation	
	etc.). Subsidise 'green' local power for	
	heating there is a host of measures	
	which could be used to finally solve the	
	issue of the region where wind energy is	
	most abundant to pay the highest energy	
	prices.	
151	Economic development. Energy	
	efficiency.	
152	Whatever is needed.	
153	Improving the inequities between the	
	Isles and the mainland	
	Improving the form, consider for later falls	
	Improving the ferry services for Isles folk	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
	T	
	- that would include making sure links	
	work say from the Isles and the Pentland	
	ferry and flights?	
	Improving the Broadband for everyone at	
	the same time?	
	Improving dental services to the Isles?	
	Just a few early thoughts	
154	For Flotta a community bus with drivers,	
	restoration of historic buildings for tourists	
	to visit and a cafe for locals and visitors.	
155	Any projects that benefit Orkney,	
	However we need to make sure that all	
	the islands get specific benefits not just	
	the Mainland.	
156	To help fund council services. It should	
	not be used for any projects public,	
	community lead or private	
157	Maintenance of infrastructure, community	
	activities/charitable organisations,	
	supporting those experiencing hardship	
	in the community etc. Will be different for	
150	different community councils.	
158	Decisions should lie with community councils	
159	Helping to reduce electricity costs for all	
	Orcadians	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
160	Improvements to availability of services	
	such as sports, travel grants from islands	
	to picky, also to pay for people to come	
	from Kirkwall to other districts throughout	
	the county for health and welfare.	
	Particularly for under 18s but also to	
	encourage all ages back into fitness.	
	Most importantly some of the money	
	could be used to bribe councillors and	
	their employees to go out into the	
	community outside Kirkwall to see what	
	Orkney is based on. Might surprise them	
161	Developing schools/community	
	centres/playing fields/verge cutting, and	
	equipment for these places. They are the	
	areas that have been struggling and had	
	budgets cut, and expected to still be at	
	the same standard.	
162	Capital projects to help improve the	
	energy efficiency of Orkney's non-	
	domestic building stock. Particularly	
	buildings owned and operated by 3rd	
	sector, charity and church groups. There	
	is lots of funding for domestic properties,	
	however funding is harder to come by for	
	non-domestic buildings and most	
	charities and voluntary bodies don't have	
	the capacity to develop projects and bid	
	for funding. Funding could be	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you	u think the fund should be used for?	
	administered by the Council or potentially	
	Aspire Orkney which I think has just been	
	set up.	
163	Adaption to Climate change	
	De-carbonisation schemes.	
	Child poverty	
	Fuel poverty	
	Support for charities	
	Affordable housing for particularly for	
	young people	
	Education	
	Offset against future council budget cuts.	
	Low emission transport	
	Improving public transport	
164	Eday requires a serious amount of	
	infrastructure enhancements and job	
	creation. This money will enable what is a	
	very fragile island to be viable and	
	sustainable.	
165	Eday has a need for investment. The	
	roads are in a poor state and the	
	infrastructure is generally old and a bit	
	tired. To have better tourist facilities and	
	better amenities at the sites of interest	
	would be useful. Most of all I feel that this	
	is the realm of the community itself not	
	for OIC to decide on their behalf.	
	Otherwise it is not a community fund,	
	merely part of OIC funding, which would	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
	exacerbate the feeling already present	
	that this is what OIC wants.	
166	Upgrading the facilities for the young	-
	people of the island. Environmental	
	apprenticeship schemes. Upgrading	
	equipment for community events.	
	Improving tourist facilities.	
167	Infrastructure projects]
168	Projects identified by community groups]
	from a fund allocated to each and then	
	OIC led projects for services that are	
	identified as needed/ lacking within our	
	community plan or via additional	
	consultation.	
169	Major Projects should show manifest	
	benefit for all of Orkney – a proportion of	
	the income each year should be	
	distributed to community Council areas	
	based on population to be used for local	
	projects. This proportion should be	
	decided each year by OIC and Chairs of	
	community councils.	
170	Improving energy efficiency in local	
	homes and reducing fuel poverty by	
	sharing the funds with residents	_
171	improving facilities for local people, e.g.	
	playparks, cycle routes, paths, improving	
	dilapidated facilities such as toilets etc as	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
	the OIC do not do much of this at	
	present. Improve the appearance of our	
	rural villages such as Dounby, which	
	never gets anything spent on it, but could	
	be a much nicer place with some	
	relatively minor improvements.	
172	Preservation of Orcadian built and natural	
	heritage.	
	Preservation and promotion of Orcadian	
	cultural heritage; history, identity and	
	dialect should be at the forefront of	
	priorities.	
173	When we get a new boat service here on	
	Papay we will need to have our own	
	haulage system so that we can help to	
	support it.	
	Rentable Housing for the island	
174	Anything that will improve the community.	
	New projects, improvement of local area.	
175	Further renewable energy, green or	
	recycling projects or schemes	
176	Public walkways, upkeep and possibly	
	increasing paths there is	
177	Projects for community benefit that are	
	not covered by statutory services - this	
	should not be regarded as a 'top-up' for	
	services that should be provided by	
	central government	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
	T=	
178	Projects for small groups which perhaps	
	do not have a charity or set community	
	group affiliation. They are often unable	
	access funding because they have no	
	official set up. If there is evidence the	
	money will help then it should be	
	awarded.	
179	Low cost energy, transport infrastructure	
	(e.g. cycle/walking lanes on main routes	
	across Orkney), subsidised travel within	
	Orkney, superfast broadband for all.	
180	Projects that will directly benefit residents	
	of Orkney to aid with employment or	
	infrastructure not visitors	
181	Mental health support	
	Schemes to support our youth following	
	this pandemic (supporting their mental	
	health, physical health etc)	
	Family support for low income families	
	(respite, access to sports facilities,	
	befriending)	
	Lunch clubs for the lonely and vulnerable.	
182	I don't think it should be used for projects.	
	I think energy should be subsidised for all	
	given the appalling fuel poverty rates in	
	the county	
183	All different areas need funding for	
	different projects to improve the areas.	
	These in my opinion should be based on	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do	o you think the fund should be used for?	
	improving Health and Wellbeing in the	
	communities. Connectivity and transp	port.
184	Play parks all over - improve and	
	reinstate all removed play park items.	
	To name a few needing restored item	
	replaced items Summerdale, Papdale	9,
	Marwicks, Faravel and Hamnavoe.	
	Chata name in Chramanaa and	
	Skate park in Stromness and	
	improvements or expansion to the Kirkwall one.	
185		<u> </u>
100	It should Not be used for projects that should be publicly funded and are	L
	currently being neglected, e.g. the	
	maintenance of the roads in the isles,	
	provision of recycling facilities in the is	
	that are standard on the mainland if	3163
	Orkney. It needs to not be subject to a	a
	million rules that basically make it	α
	impossible for any groups to apply for	•
	funding.	
	Environmental projects like communit	tv
	food waste recycling/composting wou	
	be good. A community garden and	
	polytunnel for the Longhope village.	
	Funding for energy efficient housing	
	improvements, or just housing	
	improvements generally for people or	n
	low incomes. There's a lot of owner	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
	occupied houses in Hoy that are below a	
	tolerable standard.	
	Pest control measures to reduce	
	problems with geese and rats could be	
	funded.	
	Social projects like funding paid life	
	support roles so the swimming pool could	
	open more easily, funding a youth club	
	bus, helping fund projects for kids such	
	as gymnastics, dance, football, netball	
	that isles kids miss out on and are on the	
	back foot when they go up to kgs or	
	Stromness academy.	
	It would require a lot of community	
	consultation and the remit should not be	
	really narrow and impossible to fit!	
186	Helping eradicate fuel poverty, travel	
	grants for all to bring travel cost more in	
	line with the rest of UK, re-introduce	
	some of the services which have been	
	cut by OIC over recent years due to lack	
	of funds.	
187	I would like to see the fund used to	
	encourage small business start-up,	
	creation of permanent employment,	
	improvement to the fabric of private	
	homes, to prevent fuel poverty and	
	reduce carbon emissions who are unable	
	to access funding through other channels	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
188	Environmental - if you go ahead with	
	Faray, the funds need to be used to put	
	right the damage that will be caused.	
189	Perhaps it could be used to help lower	
	income families and disadvantaged	
	children with living costs. For example, it	
	could be used to install cheaper and	
	greener energy supplies to those who	
	cannot afford to access this option.	
190	I feel there should be strong focus on	
	environmental projects, before on land	
	and within Orkney waters. This is	
	particularly important due to the proposed	
	development on Faray.	
191	The Dev Trust on Hoy runs a number of	
	community projects and services,	
	transport, wellbeing, leisure, renewables	
	etc so these are some examples of the	
	type of projects possible. The Hoy Kirk,	
	Lifeboat Museum, Gable End Theatre	
	amongst others run various cultural and	
	heritage projects, often with Dev Trust	
	support. So there is an existing set of	
	potential recipients with suitable admin,	
	governance and outcomes profiles.	
	The CC and DevTrust jointly produced an	
	island action plan two years ago which	
	discusses many relevant issues. Contact	
	the DevTrust for details.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response	
8. What type of projects do	8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?		
192	Projects that support employment		
	especially for young people on non-linked		
	islands		
	Projects that improve access to services		
	that support those with mental health		
	issues and other socioeconomic issues.		
193	Any funding must be used for projects not		
	covered by the statutory responsibilities		
	of OIC		
194	The fund should be flexible enough so		
	that it can support a wide range of		
	projects that would support community		
	development and sustainability. The Isles		
	development trusts have good criteria for		
405	their wind farm funding.		
195	Both community projects and local		
400	businesses who serve those communities		
196	They should be distributed for projects		
	that help the community to grow and help		
407	keep jobs in the Islands.		
197	Nature/tourism/education and locals		
400	benefit with money off electric bill.		
198	A) Catchup on infrastructure and jobs in		
	the outer lying areas		
	B) Similar top-ups and investments for		
	projects to what the oil-fund has been		
400	used for		
199	Anything that benefits the community		

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
200	Reduce electricity bills for all Orkney	
	residents	
201	Cycle lanes on country roads (benefits all	
	road users)	
	Community projects like planting trees	
	and wildflowers (benefits everyone)	
	Other projects who don't receive money	
	from larger bodies (sports, clubs etc	
	already get)	
202	General housing, upgrading or new build	
203	Community spaces. Help to those on low	
	income with energy bills.	
204	Ferries!	
	Electric car charging points.	
	Recycling collections	
	Anything and everything else the OIC is	
	failing at or refusing to acknowledge.	
205	To build a facility to convert wasted	
	energy from the windmills that are not	
	sold to the local grid for the whole of	
	Orkney residents to use, to reduce fuel	
	poverty in Orkney a real problem, it would	
	at least reduce everyone's bills and	
	benefit everyone. In years to come	
	Scotland mainland to have huge wind	
	farms capable of suppling all of Scotland,	
	so the draw and amount Orkney will be	
	able to sell to grid will be reduced at that	
	time more energy would be available to	

Comment No.	ment No. Comment			
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?			
	,			
	Orkney residents rather than wasted as			
	all windmills do at the moment, in			
	addition battery banks could be built to			
	store excess energy to again supply the			
	local grid to benefit all Orkney residents,			
	especially as fuel costs are increasing			
206	Improve housing affordable rented			
	accommodation and employment options			
207	Enterprise Grants - not just community			
	organisations, but we should we			
	supporting innovative start up grants.			
	Social Housing - spread across the island			
	communities.			
208	Improved broadband access for the North			
	Isles			
209	I think it would be great if the fund was			
	used to help the islands create projects			
	that help them be greener, achieving net			
	zero, increasing long term sustainability			
0.40	(horticulture projects), etc.			
210	To benefit those in poverty in our			
	community - upgrading of heating,			
	windows, addressing dampness in home,			
0.1.1	child poverty, elderly poverty etc			
211	Capital projects e.g. improvement in a			
	community building			
212	Reducing fuel poverty. Some homes in			
	Orkney are spending between £350-£400			

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
	a month on electric alone!	
	Proper apprenticeships, trades, moving away from tourism and growing real skills that benefit the community	
	Any funding for tourism should be scrapped, as we saw in the pandemic these businesses were scuppered without cruise ships etc.	
	Help get young people on the property ladder, folk from south are migrating north cash rich and driving up the price of housing making it impossible for young couples to buy homes.	
	I would stop incomers buying homes as holiday let's and air B&B, some folk own dozens of properties and live abroad, this is just wrong, bad for Orkney. They put nothing in and bleed Orkney dry of affordable housing	
213	Any project currently not eligible for other adequate funding grants.	
214	Rather, I would like to strongly suggest that it is NOT spent to plug holes in areas where the OIC have failed to satisfactorily carry out their responsibilities to	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
8. What type of projects do you think th	e fund should be used for?	
	communities - I understand, at an early	
	stage that someone representing the	
	council at a meeting in Hoy suggested	
	that we might spend monies on the	
	roads! This should not be an excuse for	
	further handing over responsibility for	
	infrastructure to the local community as	
	has happened with play parks.	
215	Community development. Living off	
	mainland, communities find it much	
	harder to access facilities and activities	
	which the majority take for granted. This	
	money should be used to level the field	
	here, contributing to the provision of	
	amenities, youth activities, transport and	
	access for the elderly and disabled, and	
	in supporting the growth of trade,	
	appropriately scaled tourism, affordable	
	homes and new business ventures in	
	these communities.	
216	I'd leave that up early island to decide	
	what is important to them. They all have	
	development plans which have been	
	formed following wide consultations	
	within their communities.	
217	Not an immediate question I realise but	
	you asked for thoughts. I think the	
	Sanday model is broadly appropriate.	
	Community Councils might need some	

Comment No.	Comment	Response					
8. What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?							
218	widening of their powers. I hope these developments all go ahead and commend the effort and work you and your team have put into it all. I believe at least some of the funds should go towards helping with our ever increasing electricity bills.						

Comment No.	Comment	Response		
9. Do you have any other comments to	make?			
219	It is vital that, for example, an SPV is able to be based administratively in the isles, not centralised like so much of the public sector. OIC should lead by example in decentralisation of their own and associated operations to the isles that they serve.	Noted		
220	This is very important and you need to make sure all voices are heard around the table - you may want to think about a road show to the Isles? If I had not seen Twitter, I would not have known about it The best of luck	Noted		
221	We moved from near the biggest wind farm in Europe which was a nightmare. Wind farms are not very environmentally	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise		

Comment No.	Comment	Response
9. Do you have any other c	comments to make?	
	friendly with the concrete used to build	
	the base for them and they are an eye	
	sore	
222	The idea of the wind farms was to help	Noted
	with funding council services to save	
	cutting the level of services	
223	The local council employees who make	Noted
	these decisions should be out in the	
	different islands etc to see and learn what	
224	makes Orkney work.	Outsuith the come of this computation
224	Surely the advancement of offshore wind means it is more sensible for the Council	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
	to invest in one of the much larger	exercise
	projects being developed in Orkney's	
	waters? It would spare our landscape	
	and my understanding is that the new	
	cable, which this is trying to prop-up,	
	doesn't help alleviate the grid capacity	
	issues on Orkney for domestically	
	generated and utilised electricity.	
225	These schemes all depend on grid	Outwith the scope of this consultation
	connection to allow energy to be	exercise
	exported. Improved grid connection has	
	been spoken about for 20 years or more	
	and really hasn't moved forward. Are we	
	confident it will actually happen? Is this	
	something that needs to come out of the	
	commercial realm and requires	
	Government to step in to say it is in the	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
9. Do you have any other c	comments to make?	
	National interest? Otherwise we'll be	in
	consultation for another 20 years.	
226	It is outrageous that the Council shou	
	even consider that the Community	additional recognition for the host
	Benefit Fund should be paid to any o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	island but Eday and there is no prece	
	for splitting the fund - Sanday hasn't	
	to share their fund! Most of the Faray	
	people came to Eday as they were pa	
	the same parish and many were relati	
	Most of them settled in houses on the	
	west side of Eday from where they co	ouid
227	see their previous homes.	non Noted
227	It was very vague the answers and o	ppen Noted
220	to manipulation!	Noted
228	Listen to your communities. Please.	Noted
229	I really hope communities feel the be	
	of this because it will have an impact	t on
	our islands. It's very important we	o Itio
	contribute to reducing climate change	
	also very important further renewable developments are publicly owned so	
	support the proposals. But our	
	communities must feel the benefit too	
	not just be left feeling OIC is taking a	·
	profits and spending them in Kirkwall	
	There is a real perception in the isles	
	inequality, and the notion that OIC is	
	supporting fragile communities in the	
	Supporting magne communities in the	,

Comment No.	Comment	Response
9. Do you have any other comments to		
	isles is laughable. We have lost our old	
	folks worker, our youth club, our	
	swimming pool currently shut (not	
	because of covid). Yes we have fewer	
	people, so perhaps the numbers don't	
	justify these things but the thing is they	
	are the only ones we have, our old folk	
	can't just get the bus to a different lunch	
	club, our kids can't just go to another	
	youth club. Add to that we have almost	
	useless recycling facilities, and despite a	
	fantastic council worker, he is one man	
	doing a job that 20 years ago was done	
	by 3, our roads, especially at the North	
	end if the island where tours list traffic is	
	heaviest, are terrible. The OIC pushes for	
	more tourism but in Hoy all that means is	
	the roads are clogged up and you can't	
	get on the boat.	
	Lots of complaints but Hoy is still the best	
	place to live and I would like to see the	
	council handle this really well and make	
	us proud of them, instead of communities	
	in the isles feeling forgotten.	
230	Please do not go ahead with a wind farm	Outwith the scope of this consultation
	in Faray. It is totally the wrong location to	exercise
	do this due to the ecological and	
	environmental sensitivity of the area.	

Comment No.	Comment	Response
9. Do you have any other c	comments to make?	
231	I do not believe that Faray is a suitable location for the proposed wind farm.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
232	Your timescale is far too tight. Some organisations here have not had a chance to meet for discussion and anyway, meetings are pretty dysfunctional at the moment. I am unaware of any input from any elected OIC representatives. Disappointing! Their support and involvement could have been sought and encouraged. A direct approach to the main island organisations would have been appropriate.	Noted
233	It isn't financially, environmentally or aesthetically viable.	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
234	It is important that the most vulnerable areas get a considerable chunk of funding. If funding is administered on a per-head basis Kirkwall will get far more funding than it needs, but smaller areas with much lower populations that really require the funding support will get next to nothing.	Noted and met through 'all of Orkney to benefit from the location-specific community benefit fund' key criteria.
219	Eday is also affected by another wind farm, will The same criteria apply?	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise

Comment No.	Comment	Response
9. Do you have any other comments t		
220	It is vital that, for example, an SPV is able to be based administratively in the isles, not centralised like so much of the public sector. OIC should lead by example in decentralisation of their own and associated operations to the isles that they serve.	Noted
221	This is very important and you need to make sure all voices are heard around the table - you may want to think about a road show to the Isles? If I had not seen Twitter, I would not have known about it The best of luck	Noted
222	We moved from near the biggest wind farm in Europe which was a nightmare. Wind farms are not very environmentally friendly with the concrete used to build the base for them and they are an eye sore	Outwith the scope of this consultation exercise
223	The idea of the wind farms was to help with funding council services to save cutting the level of services	Noted
224	The local council employees who make these decisions should be out in the different islands etc to see and learn what makes Orkney work.	Noted

1. Kirkwall and St Ola Community Council response

Question 1 – What should be the geographical spread of the fund? i.e. which communities should get the money?

Kirkwall and St Ola Community considers that the fund should benefit all of Orkney, and allocated on a per head of population basis (given that Kirkwall and St Ola Community Council has approximately 40% of the total population of Orkney) to the 20 community councils.

Question 2 – How should the scheme be administered? I.e. who should look after the money?

Given that some areas already administer funding from community turbines and also that community councils already distribute funding, Kirkwall and St Ola Community considers that community councils should be responsible for and administer funding raised from the proposed location-specific community benefit scheme.

Question 3 – Do you have any more general view on the type of projects that the funding could be used for?

Suggestions for use of the funds include infrastructure projects, such as footpaths, play parks and fuel efficiency projects. The fund should not be used to fund administration costs, but to the benefit of the entire Orkney community.

2. Holm Community Council response

Holm Community Council considers that a large proportion of the fund should be allocated to community councils to be spent in each community council area. The majority of the fund should be shared based on the population of each community council area with a smaller portion split equally between the community councils so that areas with small populations have a slightly larger pot of money. Suggested breakdown as follows.

20% to hosting community. Either island development group or community council to be decided by population of local community.

20% split among community council areas equally.

60% split among community council areas on a population basis.

Hoy, Kirkwall and St Ola, Eday 20% of £144k per year = £28,800 per year.

Each community council fixed figure 20% of 3 times £144k per year / number of community councils (20) = £4,320 per year for each community council.

Community council population percentage 60% of 3 times £144k per year / electors in Orkney times electors in a community council area.

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project – Appendix 3 – Community Council Responses

Holm 626 electors.

Orkney 17,551 electors.

Holm Community Council would get £9,245 for population and £4,320 for having a community council, a total of £13,565.

North Ronaldsay with only 56 electors would be allocated £5,147 in total.

Data on number of electors from OIC split of community council grants for 2020/21.

3. Papa Westray Community Council Response

What should the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit fund be?

We think that the Faray wind farm project should have the biggest share, say 50% of the revenue should go to Eday and Westray first, but the rest of the north isles should get the other 50% divided among them.

How should the scheme be administered?

Orkney Islands Council passes the money directly to Community Councils to administer the fund. As far as Papa Westray is concerned we feel that we would be able to administer the funds for the good of the island

What type of projects do you think the fund should be used for?

When we get a new boat service here on Papay we will need to have our own haulage system so that we can help to support it.

Rentable Housing for the island

4. Shapinsay Community Council Response

Apologies for the last minute response. Thank you for coming to our meeting the other night, I had tried to get replies before that, but was finding it hard to explain everything! We had a quick chat after the meeting and I have put together the following response to the three questions. I think what members were most keen to emphasise was that the inner isles often feel left out of decisions that are made for the isles, we feel that we play second fiddle to the North Isles, and I think would be disappointed if they were forgotten again.

What should be the geographical spread of the location-specific community benefit scheme be? i.e. which communities should get the money?

We feel the money should benefit all the islands in Orkney, not just those closest to the turbines, as this is a decision that will affect all of Orkney, not just those closest. One way we saw this being done is when the money for the Faray turbine is shared out that Shapinsay and Rousay, Egilsay and Wyre be included in the "North Isles", as we are in fact the inner North Isles. It may be that the decision is taken that the closest get most, but we would like to ensure us inner North isles are not a forgotten area.

Presumably these additional turbines feeding into the grid could have an effect on the generation capacity of wind turbines already in place on other islands?

How should the location-specific community benefit scheme be administered? i.e. who should look after the money?

We didn't feel that OIC should be administering the location specific funds, as the things applied for will also presumably be "location specific" and need to be overseen locally. The Community Councils could be given a small pot of money that they are able to give out for smaller sums, say up to £250, and any larger sums could be decided by a separate body made up of representatives from the various Community Councils involved.

Do you have any more general views on the type of projects that the funding could be used for?

- Improvements to island infrastructure
- Improvements to island facilities
- Supporting work of local groups and organisations
- Community events

5. Eday Community Council

Eday Community Council is supportive of the proposals for community funding in the order of £144,000 per annum that will be available as a result of the OIC Community Wind Farm project. From an environmental point of view, the greatest visual impact will be borne by Eday residents. It is also the case that the wind turbines will be constructed within the Parish of Eday and Pharay. To date, Eday has not benefited from other wind farm schemes, even where there is visual impact, such as that based on Sanday. It is also the case that Eday's own community turbine has suffered from significant curtailment, resulting in very small income in comparison with that of Westray, for example.

Eday's social and economic fabric is fairly fragile and has been so for the last 10 to 15 years with population dropping to from 165 to 120; there is an ageing population and limited economic opportunities for younger people and those of working age. The island desperately needs investment in infrastructure, jobs and housing to create a long term viable and sustainable future for Eday.

Based on the facts above, Eday Community Council would like to see Eday receive the majority of community funding available – at least 75% - with the remaining monies split between other islands potential on a geographical / distance basis.

Eday Community Council would be happy to manage such funds and would work in partnership with the Development Trust to ensure that the monies were spent in the most effective and efficient way. We would also seek to work with OIC and establish whether or not there might be an opportunity to outsource Orkney Mainland Council posts to a community hub on Eday. By being able to secure a substantial annual sum, it would be possible not only to invest in badly needed infrastructure but also potentially support medium term employment positions.

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project – Appendix 4 – Breakdown of allocations per Community Council under Options 1 – 9.

Please note the figures are per annum and based on an assumption of 28.8MW installed capacity at £5000 per MW per year, therefore £144,000 per year per site, or £432,000 per year across all three sites. The final figure – and allocations – are therefore dependent on achieving planning permission on all three sites and future Council decisions.

		Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4		Option 5	Option 6	Option 7	Option 8	Option 9
Birsay		£0.00 ¹	£0.00	£0.00	£10,273		£16,516	£0.00 ²	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Eday		£36,000	£36,000	£48,000	£86,400		£2,609.	£72,000	£27,160	£72,000	£96,000
Evie and Rendall		£24,000	£5,165	£18,000	£9,416		£15,138	£48,000	£10,330	£10,330	£36,000
Firth and Stenness	Options	£24,000	£9,574	£18,000	£17,454	Options	£28,060	£48,000	£19,148	£19,148	£36,000
Flotta	4	£36,000	£10,460	£24,000	£5,000		£1,477	£72,000	£20,920	£20,920.	£48,000
Graemsay, Hoy and Walls	Recommended	£36,000	£61,540	£48,000	£86,400	Discounted	£8,689	£72,000	£123,079	£123,079	£96,000
Harray and Sandwick	Ä	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£17,790		£28,601	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Holm		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£9,584		£15,408		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Kirkwall and St Ola		£24,000	£57,261	£36,000	£86,400		£167,818	£48,000	£114,521	£114,521	£72,000

¹ Under Options 1, 2 and 3, Community Councils noted as £0.00 could bid into the OIC pot of £226,000.00.

² Under Options 4,5,6,7, 8 and 9 Community Councils noted as £0.00 have no Council-held fund to bid into.

Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project – Appendix 4 – Breakdown of allocations per Community Council under Options 1 – 9.

North Ronaldsay	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000	£1,378	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Orphir	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£7,670	£12,332	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Papa Westray	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000	£1,748	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Rousay, Egilsay, Wyre and Gairsay	£0.00	20.00	£0.00	£5,000	£5,612	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Sanday	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£6,200	£9,969	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Shapinsay	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000	£6,277	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
South Ronaldsay and Burray	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£17,162	£27,592	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
St Andrews and Deerness	£0.00	20.00	20.00	£12,937	£20,799	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	20.00
Stromness	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£27,329	£43,936	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Stronsay	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000	£6,818	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Westray	£36,000	£36,000	£24,000	£6,981	£16,516	£72,000	£116,839	£72,000	£48,000

Amount

What should the geographical spread of the fund be?

How should the scheme be administered?

All Orkney

Orkney Islands Council

£5000/MW/year.

Circa £144,000 per year per site

Host community where wind farm situated

All communities within a set distance of the wind farm

A mix of the above options

Community Councils

Special Purpose Vehicle

A mix of the above options



Equality Impact Assessment

The purpose of an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) is to improve the work of Orkney Islands Council by making sure it promotes equality and does not discriminate. This assessment records the likely impact of any changes to a function, policy or plan by anticipating the consequences, and making sure that any negative impacts are eliminated or minimised and positive impacts are maximised.

1. Identification of Function, Policy or Plan	
Name of function / policy / plan to be assessed.	Location-specific community benefit scheme for Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project
Service / service area responsible.	Development and Infrastructure
Name of person carrying out the assessment and contact details.	Kirsty Groundwater
Date of assessment.	19 October 2021
Is the function / policy / plan new or existing? (Please indicate also if the service is to be deleted, reduced or changed significantly).	New

2. Initial Screening		
What are the intended outcomes of the function / policy / plan?	To define the make-up of a location-specific community benefit scheme for Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project.	
Is the function / policy / plan strategically important?	No.	
State who is, or may be affected by this function / policy / plan, and how.	All community members may be affected as potential beneficiaries of community benefit.	
How have stakeholders been involved in the development of this function / policy / plan?	Public consultation on options for a location- specific community benefit fund attached to Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project, was held between 20 June and 13 August 2021, with the	

	results of that consultation used to inform the options presented to Council.
Is there any existing data and / or research relating to equalities issues in this policy area? Please summarise. E.g. consultations, national surveys, performance data, complaints, service user feedback, academic / consultants' reports, benchmarking (see equalities resources on OIC information portal).	The Scottish Government guidance on good practice principles for communities, businesses, local authorities sets out recommendations that are based on fairness and inclusion. These principles have been used in undertaking consultation and in preparing options for Council consideration.
Is there any existing evidence relating to socio-economic disadvantage and inequalities of outcome in this policy area? Please summarise. E.g. For people living in poverty or for people of low income. See The Fairer Scotland Duty Interim Guidance for Public Bodies for further information.	No.
Could the function / policy have a differential impact on any of the following equality areas?	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
Race: this includes ethnic or national groups, colour and nationality.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
2. Sex: a man or a woman.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
3. Sexual Orientation: whether a person's sexual attraction is towards their own sex, the opposite sex or to both sexes.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
4. Gender Reassignment: the process of transitioning from one gender to another.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split

	geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
5. Pregnancy and maternity.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
6. Age: people of different ages.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
7. Religion or beliefs or none (atheists).	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
8. Caring responsibilities.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
9. Care experienced.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
10. Marriage and Civil Partnerships.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
11. Disability: people with disabilities (whether registered or not).	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.
12. Socio-economic disadvantage.	No. The only differential impact in relation to the selected option will be in relation to how community benefit payments might be split geographically, with public consultation used to inform that position.

3. Impact Assessment	
Does the analysis above identify any differential impacts which need to be addressed?	No.
How could you minimise or remove any potential negative impacts?	N/A
Do you have enough information to make a judgement? If no, what information do you require?	Yes

4. Conclusions and Planned Action	
Is further work required?	No. As the project progresses further reports to Committee related to Community Benefit may require new Equality Impact Assessment to ensure that potential impacts are identified accordingly.
What action is to be taken?	New EQiA if further reports on this subject are submitted to Committee
Who will undertake it?	Report Writers
When will it be done?	When further reports on this subject are submitted to Committee
How will it be monitored? (e.g. through service plans).	N/A.

Signature: Date: 19 October 2021

Name: Kirsty Groundwater

Please sign and date this form, keep one copy and send a copy to HR and Performance. A Word version should also be emailed to HR and Performance at hrsupport@orkney.gov.uk

PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS	Responses
Please provide a brief description or summary of the policy, strategy or service under review for the purposes of this assessment.	The 'Location-Specific Community Benefit Scheme for Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project' will establish a framework for the geographical extent and administrative arrangements for location-specific community benefit fund payments from the proposed 'Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project'.
	At a meeting of the Policy and Resources committee on 23 November 2021, Elected Members will be presented with a menu of nine options as to how the scheme might be structured.
	Their decision will form a policy position for the Council, but implementation of the fund will only be undertaken at a later date if the project goes ahead, and will therefore be subject to consideration at an additional meeting of the Council where the details of the structure of the fund would be finalised.
STEP 1 - Develop a clear understanding of your objectives	Responses
What are the objectives of the policy, strategy or service?	 Provide a location-specific community benefit scheme attached to the proposed Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project, specifically a £5000 per MW per year payment to local communities to fund projects with the aim of providing community benefit. As far as practicable, follow the Scottish Government's Good Practice Principles for Community Benefit from Onshore Renewable Energy Developments - https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-government-good-practice-principles-community-benefits-onshore-renewable-energy-developments/documents/ in developing the scheme

,	manity Benefit Geneme
	For that scheme – in line with the Good Practice Principles referred to above - to have been developed in consultation with local communities with particular reference to two issues – which communities should benefit from the financial payment and how should this payment be administered.
Do you need to consult?	Yes – consultation carried out June to August 2021
How are islands identified for the purpose of the policy, strategy or service?	For the purpose of the scheme, island communities have been identified by Orkney's Community Council areas as defined by Orkney Islands Council's Scheme for Orkney Community Councils – 2007. The Community Council areas are listed in alphabetical order below. The ferry-linked islands have been noted. • Birsay • Eday (ferry-linked) • Evie and Rendall • Firth and Stenness • Flotta (ferry-linked) • Gramesay, Hoy and Walls (ferry-linked) • Harray and Sandwick • Holm • Kirkwall and St Ola • North Ronaldsay (ferry-linked) • Orphir • Papa Westray (ferry-linked) • Rousay, Egilsay, Wyre and Gairsay (ferry-linked) • Sanday (ferry-linked) • Shapinsay (ferry-linked) • South Ronaldsay and Burray • St Andrews and Deerness • Stromness

·	Westray (ferry-linked)
What are the intended impacts/outcomes and how do these potentially differ in the islands?	The intended outcome is the development of a location specific community benefit scheme for Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project in line with the Scottish Government's Good Practice Principles for Community Benefit from Onshore Renewable Energy Developments.
	At a meeting of the Policy and Resources committee on 23 November 2021, Elected Members will be presented with a menu of nine options as to how the scheme might be structured.
	The impacts will differ for all the island communities identified above in terms of how the scheme is structured – some island communities may receive more funding than others depending on the final structure of the scheme.
Is the policy, strategy or service new?	Yes. It should be noted that this will form a policy position for the Council, but implementation of the fund will only be undertaken at a later date if the project goes ahead, and will therefore be subject to consideration at an additional meeting of the Council where the details of the structure of the fund would be finalised.
STEP 2 - Gather your data and identify your stakeholders	Responses
What data is available about the current situation in the islands?	 Informal views were gathered during early consultation events in 2019 which showed that communities were interested in finding out more about how the location-specific community benefit scheme would be structured and in being involved in the establishment of that scheme A desktop exercise was carried out to determine which island communities were already in receipt of location specific community benefit payments from other onshore wind farms and how these schemes are administered A formal consultation exercise was carried out between June and August 2021 which gathered the views of local

	·
	community councils and members of the public to ask opinions on three questions – 'which communities should benefit from the scheme?', 'how should the scheme be administered?' and 'what should the fund be used for'? • Data was gathered on population numbers in the island communities in order that per head of population calculations could be produced for some of the options being considered.
Do you need to consult?	Yes – consultation carried out June to August 2021
How does any existing data differ between islands?	The online survey that was used asked the respondents to identify which community council area they were resident in and therefore data could be split down by community council, or island community, area. Different island communities expressed different views during the consultation with the key theme being that the island communities which might host the turbines (Kirkwall and St Ola; Gramesay, Hoy and Walls; and Eday) or are closest to the turbines (Firth and Stenness, Evie and Rendall; Flotta; and Westray) identified for that they should benefit the most from a location-specific community benefit scheme due to the proximity of the turbines.
Are there any existing design features or mitigations in place?	No
STEP 3 - Consultation	Responses
Who do you need to consult with?	The consultation (carried out between June and August 2021) involved all 20 community council areas as follows: Birsay Eday Evie and Rendall Firth and Stenness Flotta Gramesay, Hoy and Walls Harray and Sandwick Holm

	minumity benefit Scheme
	 Kirkwall and St Ola North Ronaldsay Orphir Papa Westray Rousay, Egilsay, Wyre and Gairsay Sanday Shapinsay South Ronaldsay and Burray St Andrews and Deerness Stromness Stronsay Westray Community Councils, members of the public, and other interested organisations were invited to comment.
How will you carry out your consultation and in what timescales?	The consultation was carried out from June – September 2021. Due to the Covid19 restrictions around large public gatherings the consultation was carried out predominantly online, with one on one telephone opportunities and postal packs available on request. The Project Officer also attended a series of community council meetings to present the consultation materials and invite views.
What questions will you ask when considering how to address island realities?	The questions asked followed the Scottish Government Good Practice Principles, namely which communities should benefit from the financial payment and how should this payment be administered. For each question a series of options was drawn up which the island communities could choose from – or they could suggest their own option.

What information has already been gathered through consultations and what concerns have been raised previously by island communities?	Views had previously been gathered on the importance of a location-specific community benefit scheme to the communities most affected by the proposed developments. Key concerns that have been raised by the communities were that the communities who are either 'hosting' the turbines or who closest to the turbines should benefit the most from a location specific community benefit payment. A secondary concern that has been raised at previous consultation events was the capacity for smaller island communities to administer a large community benefit payment.
Is your consultation robust and meaningful and sufficient to comply with the Section 7 duty?	Yes
STEP 4 - Assessment	Responses
Does your assessment identify any unique impacts on island communities?	At a meeting of the Policy and Resources committee on 23 November 2021, Elected Members will be presented with a menu of nine options as to how the scheme might be structured. Each community will be impacted uniquely depending on how the scheme is structured in terms of which communities should benefit from the fund.
Does your assessment identify any potential barriers or wider impacts?	A potential barrier that was identified was the capacity for smaller island communities – with small volunteers community councils - to deal with the administration of a large community benefit payment. The wider impacts are dependent on how the final scheme is structured - each island community will be impacted differently
How will you address those?	depending on how the scheme is structured. At a meeting of the Policy and Resources Committee on 23
How will you address these?	November 2021, Elected Members will be presented with a menu

Location Specific Community Benefit Scheme

of nine options as to how the scheme might be structured.

For each option an analysis of the financial benefit that each island community will receive will be presented.

The options have been shaped around the feedback received during the consultation exercise including the specific issues identified around very large payments to smaller island communities – or conversely unfairly low payments to island communities - and options ruled in or out as a result.

You must now determine whether in your opinion your policy, strategy or service is likely to have an effect on an island community, which is significantly different from its effect on other communities (including other island communities).

If your answer is NO to the above question, a full ICIA will NOT be required and you can proceed to Step

SIX. If the answer is YES, an ICIA must be prepared and you should proceed to Step FIVE.

To form your opinion, the following questions should be considered:

- Does the evidence show different circumstances or different expectations or needs, or different experiences or outcomes (such as different levels of satisfaction, or different rates of participation)?
- Are these different effects likely?
- Are these effects significantly different?
- Could the effect amount to a disadvantage for an island community compared to the Scottish mainland or between island groups?

STEP 5 – Preparing your ICIA	Responses
In Step Five, you should describe the likely significantly different effect of the policy, strategy or service:	The likely significant different effects will be dependent on the final structure of the scheme in that, dependent on how the scheme is structured, different island groups communities will receive a varying level of community benefit payments
Assess the extent to which you consider that the policy, strategy or service can be developed or delivered in such a manner as to improve or mitigate, for island communities, the outcomes resulting from it.	At a meeting of the Policy and Resources committee on 23 November 2021, Elected Members will be presented with a menu of nine options as to how the scheme might be structured.
	For each option an analysis of the financial benefit that each island community will receive will be presented.
	The options have been shaped around the feedback received during the consultation exercise including the specific issues identified around large payments to smaller island communities – or conversely unfairly low payments to island communities - and options ruled in or out as a result.
	Options have been prepared so as to allow Council to select a policy position which is viewed as being fair and representative in consideration of the potential impacts of Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project on Orkney as a whole, as well as on specific islands and community Council areas.
Consider alternative delivery mechanisms and whether further consultation is required.	Nine options – or 'alternative delivery mechanisms' have been identified in response to the data gathered during the consultation for consideration by Elected Members.
Describe how these alternative delivery mechanisms will improve or mitigate outcomes for island communities.	Each alternative delivery mechanism has different impacts on each island community – some delivery mechanisms have already been discounted as they do not meet with the aspirations identified by our local communities OR they place fragile island communities at a particular disadvantage

Identify resources required to improve or mitigate outcomes for island communities.	Under the Scottish Government's Good Practice Principles for Onshore Renewable Energy Developments it is suggested that the 'developer' works alongside communities on a community action plan for their community benefit payment. This option will be explored further with the relevant communities once a final scheme has been established.
STEP 6 - Making adjustments to your work	Responses
Should delivery mechanisms/mitigations vary in different communities?	Yes - there may be variance on the delivery mechanism for each island community depending on which Option elected members choose
Do you need to consult with island communities in respect of mechanisms or mitigations?	Yes – consultation carried out June – September 2021
Have island circumstances been factored into the evaluation process?	Yes
Have any island-specific indicators/targets been identified that require monitoring?	No
How will outcomes be measured on the islands?	Outcomes in the islands will be measured as part of the Community Action Plan
How has the policy, strategy or service affected island communities?	The policy will provide funding to island communities to deliver community benefit.
How will lessons learned in this ICIA inform future policy making and service delivery?	The outcomes of this ICIA will inform the policy position selected by Council, which will in turn be reconsidered when the policy is implemented if Orkney's Community Wind Farm Project goes ahead.

STEP 7 - Publishing your ICIA	Responses
Have you presented your ICIA in an Easy Read format?	Yes
Does it need to be presented in Gaelic or any other language?	No
Where will you publish your ICIA and will relevant stakeholders be able to easily access it?	Will be published as part of a report to Elected Members in November and will be available at www.orkney.gov.uk
Who will sign-off your final ICIA and why?	OIC SMT.

ICIA completed by:	Kirsty Groundwater
Position:	Project Officer (Communication and Engagement)
Signature:	
Date complete:	24 September 2021

ICIA approved by:	Gavin Mitchell
Position:	Head of Legal Services
Signature:	
Date approved:	28 September 2021